NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 *by Father Coughlin*

Royal Oak, Michigan | **July 24, 1939** | Subscription: Three Dollars Per Year | 10c

STRIKERS ON W.P.A. JOBS TO GET NO MORE RELIEF



PAY AND HOUR CHANGES MADE AT WHITE HOUSE, CONGRESSMEN ARE TOLD

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The W.P.A. wage and hour changes, which brought on the strike of thousands of relief workers throughout the nation, "were drafted at the White House."

Representatives on the House Committee which made a long inquiry into the W.P.A. were told this by Col. F. C. Harrington, national W.P.A. commissioner.

When W.P.A. workers throughout the country went on strike in resentment over the hour and pay changes, Col. Harrington ordered that strikers off the job for five days be dropped from relief rolls.

COMMENT:

Newspaper accounts of W.P.A. strikes throughout the nation read like a chapter from *"Gabriel Over the White House."* They help to prove the fallacy of the dole and lending-spending as a remedy for the depression.

First of all, the depression was not an *accident;* it was *deliberately created.* SOCIAL JUSTICE has told this story repeatedly. The same control by private profiteers who brought about the depression continues to defeat the operation of those natural laws which so many expect will "bring about normal conditions in time."

Borrowing billions of dollars of bank-created fiction money—to be paid back somehow by future generations, or repudiated through national bankruptcy—and doling it out as W.P.A. relief wage checks, will never create purchasing power. Without a restored purchasing power in the hands of the public, industry can never again re-employ the jobless millions.

The same thing that *caused* the depression is the key to recovery. So long as the administration continues adamant against recognizing the economic breakdown, and doing the one needful thing to correct it, conditions will grow rapidly worse.

Is that what the administration wants?

The administrators of the New Deal saw this depression created. It occurred *before* their day. They came into office on a direct promise to the nation to effect monetary reform and persistently since have failed to perform.

SOCIAL JUSTICE maintains that every man able and willing to work in this country could, and should, receive an annual living wage, paid with a regulated dollar of known purchasing power. The abundance of this land amply permits this to be done. There is plenty for all in America: plenty of land, plenty of demand for every product of factory and farm, for every trade and craft which men perform—there waits only an adequate purchasing power, i. e., money supply. This was deliberately curtailed to create the depression and an adequate money supply is being deliberately prevented now by the same privateers who created the depression.

FROM THE TOWER ★ THE TRAGEDY OF CHAMBERLAIN *By* Dr. J. S. Barnes ★ NEW 'COLUMBIAN CONTEST'

SHRINE DINNER CHATS

From the Rectory

U.S. Founded For Our Benefit

IT IS TOO BAD that the ordinary American does not read European newspapers. If he did, he would soon discover on what a "ride" he is being taken by British and French imperialists.

For example, the *London Evening Standard,* owned by Lord Beaverbrook, one of Britain's powerful press barons, came out with a very interesting editorial. "America's present neutrality act," the editorial stated, "*deprives us of immense advantages in time of war.* In the old days we could provide ourselves from the United States with pretty well what we pleased. But the neutrality act put an end to all that."

From an English point of view, of course, Lord Beaverbrook is quite justified in complaining about the American Neutrality Act. If there is a war, he naturally wants Great Britain to win it and he knows that the chances would be lessened if America refused to become the chief munitions supplier for the British and French armies.

It is almost a novelty nowadays to suggest that the United States *was founded for the benefit of Americans* —not for the benefit of Europeans 3,000 miles across the Atlantic Ocean.

Of course, many Americans do not realize this, particularly some international-minded officials in our high governing circles. These persons seem to think that every twenty years or so a few million American citizens should go across the sea and be killed in foreign wars that have nothing whatever to do with American interests.

These are the persons who are trying to torpedo our present Neutrality Act. These are the persons who want the Bloom bill to become the law of the land—the Bloom bill which would turn our great country into a munitions dump for foreign empires.

But the great tragedy in America is not so much that it has leaders who are working for war as it is the fact that *the American people sit quietly back and tolerate such leaders.*

What is the matter with America anyway?

Why should we even have to discuss the question of joining in a European conflict?

We Americans were once a great people. We fought and battled our way through the wilderness and built up a great nation. And now, just because the wilderness has been cleared away and cities and farms have taken the place of desert and forest lands, must we close our eyes and allow our nation to go completely to pot? Must we allow ourselves to become the puppets of foreign kings, dictators and government chieftains.

The American people were never cowards. It's not that we are afraid to fight, but if we have to fight we want to fight for our own interests, the interests of our fathers, mothers and children—in short, the interests of our own kind.

So let the American people get the dust out of their eyes. Let us prohibit the dissemination of all foreign propaganda. Let us throw these war-mongers out of office. Let us seek out a true American, rally around him and under his leadership go forth to battle against the real enemy of our country — *unemployment and economic stagnation.*

* * *

American Refugees

THE AMOUNT OF American money spent every year in Europe to aid German-Jewish refugees is appalling. Though as yet no one has made a satisfactory estimate, a recent news dispatch of the Associated Press from New York states that hundreds of millions of dollars have been shipped across the ocean to various refugee relief organizations in the last six years.

The American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee alone has appropriated $13,450,000 for its 1939 budget.

The money, earmarked for refugee aid in Europe, comes from every sector and every class of citizens in the country. Millions of dollars have been given by organized charities; thousands and tens of thousands of dollars have come from the heavy purses of millionaires; dollars, quarters and even pennies have been donated by the poor.

Meanwhile, 12-million American refugees, victims of a persecution as relentless as any in Europe, are forced to continue their hopeless existence with less and less aid. These refugees are not fleeing from the lash of tyranny as are their European brothers, nor are they being hounded by religious bigotry. Nevertheless, they are refugees.

These refugees are our own unemployed.

They are refugees from an uncorrected economic desolation which is sweeping over America like a plague. They are joined by millions of other refugees—refugees from underpaid jobs, refugees from crushing taxes, refugees from the dole.

Until these refugees, our own American refugees, are taken care of, not one cent of American money should be sent to Europe!

Pete Writes to Pal

HELLO FOLKS:

I just got a letter from Pete, 'n' I'm so tickled with it I'm gonna let you read it, too. Here it is:

Dear Pal:

I'm so lonesome I just had to sneak away in this here corner 'n' write to ya. How are ya, anyway? It seems ages since I saw my ol' side-kick.

'Sides bein' lonesome I'm so hungry I c'n hardly think of anything 'sides food. This boardin' school's got anythin' you ever saw beat all hollow. Gee! I've thought of what you tol' me 'bout your boardin' school days lotsa times, 'n' I c'n sure feel for ya now, Pal. Ya sorta gotta go through these things yerself to know what it's like, don'tcha?

Well, maybe someday I'll get outta here. I'm pretty sick of gettin' up every mornin' at 5:30—the crack o' dawn. 'N', boy, do I have to scurry around to get even a smell of breakfast. If ya aren't right on the job you're sure outta luck.

The teacher I have is s'posed to be an all right sort of a fella, but I'm not pertikurlly fond of him. Gee! Y'd think I'd done somethin' to him the way he pulls me around. He bends my tail 'n' pinches my nose 'n' makes me walk this way 'n' that 'til I don't know whether he's crazy or I am. A fella just doesn't have any rights around here atall.

I thought mebby I could thaw him out a bit the other day 'n' I tried to kiss him like I usta kiss the Boss, 'n' what d'ya s'pose I got for that? A slap in the face 'n' a scoldin' for puttin' my paws up on his shoulders! Talk about ingratitude! He doesn't haffta worry 'bout me ever trying to be friendly with him again. If that's manners, Pal, they c'n keep 'em. I think good manners means bein' kind to people 'n' likin' 'em. But mebbe I'm wrong. I guess the Boss is layin' out good money for my bein' here 'n' bein' treated this way; mebby there's somethin' to all this, but between me 'n' you 'n' the gatepost, Pal, I can't see it.

* * *

Ol' Goggle-eyes (that's what we fellas here at the school call the teacher) says that we mus'n't bark too loud, 'n' we mus'n't race around in the house, 'n' we mus'n't dirty in the house, 'n' we mus'n't jump on people 'n' a lotta other silly things. F'r heavens sake, 's'f anybody doesn't know those things. Ya don't hafta go to school to learn that kinda stuff, d'ya think so, Pal? Why, I was gettin' wise to all that 'fore I got shipped off to this ol' jail.

Well, only two more weeks 'n' then I'm home. Boy, oh, boy, when I think of it I get shivvery all over. B'lieve me, Pal, I'll never leave home again, nosirree!

The other day a cuppla the boys in the dorm with me got their diplomas, 'n' then their Bosses come 'n took 'em home—that is, what was left of 'em. The poor things, they were just a bag o' bones 'n' a hank o' hair 'n' had just enuf life in 'em to give a weak little wag of their tails when their masters came for 'em.

If anybody wants to reduce, this is the place for e'm. I c'n rekomend it highly. Golly, oh, gee! Mary c'd use my ribs for a washboard. But I'm not the only thin dog in this place. Mike, my fellow-sufferer down here, is thin, too. 'Smatter of fact, we're all thin—'n' there ain't much we c'n do about it, either. Why don't ya suggest that y'r secretary 'n' a few of the other plump girls at the office spend a week 'r so down here? They'd soon lose that weight they're fussin' about all the time.

* * *

Gee, Pal, when I take a look at myself I wonder if I'll ever fill in all the cavities. My mouth waters when I think of all the fine food I used to get at home. D'y'spose Mary will fix me up with somethin' special for my homecomin' feast? The thought of that is all that's keepin' me goin', Pal.

'N' my nice bed. Is it still in the same ol' corner, Pal? Gee! I c'n hardly wait 'til I lay this body of mine down on it 'n' doze off, nice 'n' cumfy 'n' full, with nobody to bother me.

Pal, didja ever have flees? I don't 'member y're ever havin' tol' me about 'em. Well, I've got 'em, 'n' got 'em bad. Y'should see how handy I am with my left leg, though. I c'n scratch my cheek, my head 'n' my sides 'n' all over when these darn little bugs bite me.

Before Maxie got his diploma the other day, ol' Goggle-eyes defleed 'im. From the way the stuff smelled I don't know which is worse, the bath 'r the flees. I s'pose I'll hafta go through all that 'fore I come home. Darn it all, anyway, why are there such pests as flees? Ain't we pups got enuf to worry 'bout without havin' these beasts bitin' our backs? Golly, there jus' ain't no justice, no matter how y' look at it. You never had flees, the Boss never had flees, the girls in the office don't have flees. Will y'tell me why I had to have 'em? I think if I'd stayed home I wouldn't have 'em either—but I didn't stay home—I got shipped off.

Gee, Pal, y' don't know how much I've missed ya 'n' how much I love ya. Y'can bet that when I do come home I won't bite yer ears 'r crawl all over ya 'r hog all the milk any more. I'll be the bestest pup ever 'n' I'll do anything you want me to, 'cause I know now y're my best friend, 'n if I'd lissened to you in the first place, I'd never had to go to school.

* * *

Mike 'n' me was readin' what you wrote in SOCIAL JUSTICE the other day 'n' we both noticed that you have your troubles, too. If it's not flees, it's somethin' else, eh? Gee, Pal, I hope yer worries'll soon be over 'n' the subscriptions will come in okey. It's not good f'r ya to worry like that in this hot weather. Golly! I'll hafta get busy 'n' help ya out when I come home—I can't have ya gettin' sick on me. What would I ever do without my Pal?

Gee! Just thinkin' about ya gettin' all worried 'n' sick makes my stummick do tricks, 'n' I feel like foldin' up. By golly, I'm gonna be so good that they'll let me outta here earlier'n they planned. I'll show 'em how good is good.

Ol' Goggle-eyes'll think he's seein' things when I walk the straight 'n' narrow f'r him. Y'know, Pal, he calls me "Red," but not to my face, oh, no! Goggles isn't that kind of a fella. I overheard him callin' me that when Maxie was still here. He said I was a "Red" 'cause I was a rebel 'n' raised the very divvil when he tried to give me a little ball filled with medicine to cure worms. Well, Pal, I ask ya,

(Continued on Page 3)

SOCIAL JUSTICE, National Weekly, Copyrighted 1939, by The Social Justice Publishing Company; publication and editorial office, Royal Oak, Michigan. Subscription price, United States and possessions, $3.00 per year. Unless otherwise directed all subscriptions begin with the current issue. Three weeks is required to effect change of subscriber's address. Give both old and new addresses. Entered as second class matter, March 12, 1937, at the Post Office, Royal Oak, Michigan, under the Act of March 3, 1879. Rev. Charles E. Coughlin, LL. D., Editorial Counsel; E. Perrin Schwartz, Editor.

SOCIAL JUSTICE is fully protected by copyright and nothing that appears in it may be reprinted either wholly or in part without special permission. The use of articles or quotations from Social Justice for digest, advertising, promotions and stock-selling schemes is never authorized.

Vol. 4A July 24, 1939 No. 4

SUBSCRIPTION COUPON Date.....

Name.....

Address..... City.....

State..... Subscription rate $3 a year. Renewal ☐ New ☐

Witnesses Cite Red Hookup of Harry Bridges

THE NEWS:

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.—Government witnesses have testified that Harry Bridges, radical alien and west coast labor leader, is a member of the Communist Party, and is deportable from the United States because this organization advocates the violent overthrow of the United States Government.

Bridges, on trial before James M. Landis, dean of the Harvard Law School, denies that he is or ever was a member of the Communist Party. One witness, however, said he had attended Communist meetings with Bridges, and had seen him pay his dues but refuse a receipt.

COMMENT:

From the day he landed in the United States from Australia in 1920 until the present, Harry Bridges has devoted himself to trouble-making.

In the first few months of his residence in this country he was arrested for his part in an uprising in New Orleans. After his release he moved on to this city where he yipped his words of destruction in waterfront saloons, to stevedores who needed clean leadership and strong unionism, but who found neither in Bridges.

His organization program in San Francisco was built around the all but defunct International Longshoreman's Association, whose cause was taken up by West Coast Communists.

Uneducated but clever, sharp-witted and cagey, Bridges has dealt out his lessons of unionism mixed well with heaping measures of class struggle. He is reported once to have cried out, *"To hell with the President of the United States!"* Another time, witnesses have testified, Bridges screeched at a Communist meeting: "We will see a day when we can *sink those damn battleships of the United States fleet because they are the enemy of the workers!"*

And on still another occasion, at the University of Washington in 1936, Bridges, the sharp-featured alien, barked out his philosophy of Communist warfare in these words: "We take the stand that the workers have *nothing in common* with employers. *We are in a class struggle*, and we subscribe to the belief that if the employer is not in business his products still will be necessary and we will be providing them when there is no employing class. *We frankly believe that day is coming."*

Now, if those words are not the words of a Communist—in the party or outside of it—then Marxism has more than one meaning.

Bridges is an alien who for nearly two decades has accepted the hospitality of the United States. Yet on *every day* of those nearly 20 years in his heart there has burned hatred for the United States Government.

Bridges has an utter disregard for law. He has proven this in his words and in his deeds. He has an utter disregard for authority, and has said so many times. He has no love for this country, for he has never fulfilled the requirements set forth for naturalization of aliens into citizenship.

Bridges belongs to Australia from whence he came, rather than to the United States. As far as this country is concerned, he is entitled to the full protection of the laws of Australia, and to none of the protection of the laws of the United States, *for he does not belong to the United States.*

Bridges called San Francisco's general strike of 1934 and directed it in all its fury and violence. Yet he was not even a citizen of the United States! *Why is this fact glossed over so unconcernedly by Madame Perkins, United States Secretary of Labor, who has bent every effort to prevent Bridges being brought to trial?* Suppose Vincent Toledano, the Red Mexican labor leader, or Leon Jouhaux, Red leader of labor in France, were to come to this country and direct a general strike similar in its destructiveness. Would they be given the same consideration wasted upon Bridges, equally alien with them? They would not.

Since his coming to this country Bridges *has been accused of holding membership in the Communist Party under the alias, Harry Dorgan.* Witnesses have testified that he was known in Party circles as "Comrade Rossi." These names lend the aspect of truth to the testimony, for name-changing is a well-known Communist tactic.

John L. Leech testified in Bridges' deportation trial that the alien labor leader was elected a member of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the United States, in New York in 1936. He had testified earlier that Bridges had been elected to the California State Central Committee of the Communist Party.

All in all, the evidence against Bridges in the deportation hearing seems conclusive. But if it is not conclusive for deportation under the statute referred to, then a new law needs to be drawn and passed—a law to keep alien Communists from endangering the democracy and peace of the United States through the promotion of warfare between the classes of society.

8,500 in Two Packed Halls Hear Father Coughlin in Philadelphia

Cheer Voice Suppressed by Radio Censor

Message to Labor Gets Rousing Reception

PHILADELPHIA, PA.—More than 8,500 enthusiastic crusaders for social justice from Eastern Pennsylvania, New Jersey and Delaware jammed two Philadelphia halls on Friday evening, July 14, to hear the voice of Father Coughlin which has been denied them for seven months through un-American censorship imposed by the czars of radio.

Mass meetings at the Metropolitan Opera House and the grand ballroom of the Broadwood hotel were filled and thousands more were unable to gain entrance.

The voice of Father Coughlin came to Philadelphia through loud speakers from the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak. His message to labor, heard by the rest of the nation on Sunday, was loudly cheered by both halls. He called upon American labor to clean house of politicians and self-seeking radicals and make its choice of leadership between the "atheistic Jew Karl Marx or the Christian Jew, Jesus Christ."

Father Coughlin praised the heroism and zeal of the Christian Front in those areas where its work has already borne fruit, and urged Philadelphians to emulate their Christianity and Americanism.

Vociferous cheers and applause greeted his challenge that "if the radio stations continue to bar me from Philadelphia, *we are serving notice on them that this meeting will be duplicated every Sunday!"*

The Metropolitan Opera House meeting was presided over by Mr. Lawrence C. Downey of Philadelphia; Dr. Edward J. Walsh presided at the Broadwood hotel meeting.

Before the voice of Father Coughlin was heard from Royal Oak, speakers included Judge John A. Matthews of Newark, N. J., Lieut. Bernard T. D'Arcy, chief of the SOCIAL JUSTICE forces in the New York area, and Leo F. Reardon, business manager of SOCIAL JUSTICE. Lieut. D'Arcy told how New York had sextupled the circulation of SOCIAL JUSTICE in five months and paid tribute to the assistance of the powerfully organized Christian Front in Brooklyn, New York City, Queens and the Bronx.

Sketching the formation and growth of the Christian Front, Mr. John Cassidy of New York, head of the organization, pointed out that units of the organization are now in formation in several cities of the eastern seaboard. He announced his desire to extend to David Scannell, chief distributor in Philadelphia, the same co-operation his great organization has given to SOCIAL JUSTICE in New York City.

Twenty young radicals of the Socialist Workers Party picketed both halls until dispersed by 200 police following the arrest of one soapbox agitator.

That Broken Line Excuse Gets Ohio Angry at Station

COLUMBUS, OHIO—(Special to SOCIAL JUSTICE)—An aroused stimulus to the local activity of the Christian Front was precipitated in this Ohio capital by the sudden cutting off of Father Coughlin's Sunday broadcasts by radio station WHKC.

At a point in a recent comment by the "Voice of Social Justice," at the conclusion of Father Coughlin's remarks, the broadcast was cut off and the station played music for the rest of the purchased period of broadcast.

Inquiries at the station elicited the information that the interruption was due to a "broken line." Father Coughlin's broadcasting staff was told the cut-off was due to "mechanical difficulties."

Now, Father Coughlin's office has been informed that the Columbus broadcast was discontinued on account of pressure because the "Voice of Social Justice" had made a reference to "Jehovah's Witnesses"—the "Judge" Rutherford cult.

Ohioans recognize the station's right to discontinue the broadcast but they are resentful of the "broken lines" excuse given to the public by the station when asked about it.

Censor All Radio to Silence Father Coughlin Is Roosevelt Suggestion

ELLIOT ROOSEVELT, radio chain magnate, son of the President and the Little Sir Echo of the New Deal, told his radio audience over the Mutual Broadcasting System that radio censorship *"might not be too high a price to pay if it will help insulate us against the anti-Semitic oratory of that Radio Priest."*

So free speech must be consigned to the political waste paper basket—all America must suffer—in order to muzzle Father Coughlin!

It is an old story by this time. All America knows "that Radio Priest" is definitely an anti-Communist. Since Communists are men and women in the flesh and blood and not concoctions of some poetic mind, they are either Negro or white, tall or short; of Russian, Italian, German, Irish, English or of other national descent. Briefly, they are either Jewish or Gentile, which is the broadest classification we can make. Consequently "that Radio Priest" is *opposed only to the activities of those Jews and Gentiles who have adopted, aided or abetted Communism.*

Father Coughlin is no more anti-Semite than he is anti-Gentile.

But here is the trick: To win sympathy, the fellow travelers of Communism unfurl the flag of persecution. The Communist-lovers — who, by the way, do not like the odor of the word "Communism"—raise the hue and cry of "anti-Semite" against any person who singles them out.

Therefore, follow Mr. Roosevelt's grade school logic. It runs like this: He who is anti-Communist is anti-Semitic. Now, anti-Semitism is so immoral that it should not be tolerated on the airways. Consequently Father Coughlin should be disbarred from broadcasting.

Does Elliot Roosevelt know what he is talking about, since he and his family have been on rather friendly terms with the Communists; and since he is a high mogul in the broadcasting world?

He also said: "Father Coughlin's flare for rabble-rousing and his success in attracting funds have built a shrine *into a $1-million, big business investment. What his activities have been worth to himself, no one knows."*

There is a nice insinuation for you—an intimation that Father Coughlin has personally profited as pastor of the Shrine of the Little Flower from the money which millions of grateful Americans sent to him for broadcasting!

No indeed, Mr. Roosevelt, Father Coughlin has turned down dozens of offers to write syndicated articles for the newspapers and did not take advantage of his position for personal gain as have others whom we need not mention. Nor has "that Radio Priest" profited personally in handling any of the funds pertinent to the Shrine of the Little Flower or his broadcasting. No one should know that better than Mr. Roosevelt who, through his friends and relatives, has access to the reports of the Treasury Department. Why not have the Treasury Department investigate this? Father Coughlin is ready.

Moreover, Father Coughlin is running for no political office and seeks no reward from those who hold political office.

But the main point is this: The Mutual Broadcasting System refused to allocate time to Father Coughlin because he is, as they say "controversial." Nevertheless it gives time to Mr. Elliot Roosevelt, whose father controls radio licenses, to give utterance to such controversial remarks.

Father Coughlin will not use the radio time for which the people are paying to answer Mr. Roosevelt's libelous attack. The Mutual Broadcasting System is expected to deal fairly in this case, come what may, and supply radio time for his reply.

Both British and French Socialists Vote Down Idols of Popular Front

Rank and File Wants No War and No Deal With Communism

OUR CONTROLLED PRESS lately has been so filled with news colored in favor of the achievement of the unholy alliance of the so-called democracies with Communist pagan Soviet Russia, that two highly important news items unfavorable to the dominant trend of this policy have been almost completely overlooked.

These items were the repudiation of the Popular Front by overwhelming votes of the rank and file of the British Labor Party and the French Socialist Party in their recent annual congresses in Southport, England and Nantes, France, respectively.

In both conferences there was plenty of criticism of the dictatorships and of the failure of appeasement.

Popular Front Idols Topple

In England the personality voted down was Sir Stafford Cripps, a wealthy pink lawyer, who was using his wealth to agitate and propagandize for a united front with the Communists and Conservatives of the Eden and Churchill type against the Fascists. His expulsion by the executive committee went to a popular vote of the party for ratification and was approved by a vote of 2,400,000 to 400,000.

In France the personality voted down at the Nantes congress of the French Socialists, the most numerous left group in France, was none other than Leon Blum, former Premier. He was beaten by Paul Faure on the Popular Front resolution by a five to one majority of the popular vote of the party.

The Blum coalition numbered such good Gallic names as Fischer, Cohen-Hadria, Bloch, Salomon Grumbach, Weill-Reynal, Rueff, etc., all of whom are prominent Socialist leaders. Blum argued for another coalition with the French Communists, such as he effected in 1936 to the lasting disgrace and detriment of France and to the incalculable advantage of both Hitler and Mussolini.

The most powerful single factor in the French Socialist Congress, of course, was that of a very genuine desire to remain at peace. The French workingman knows that the extreme left parties and leaders in France want war with the Axis to avenge the Jews and to carry out the world revolution plans of the Kremlin. He is beginning to see that his role in all this is merely that of cannon fodder.

Scandals Turn French From Extreme Leaders

Concrete evidence of the decline of the left extremists in France was brought out in the French Socialist Conference. Jouhaux, the John L. Lewis of France, complained that the membership of the Confederation Generale du Travail, the principal labor union, had declined 20 per cent since 1936, though employment had greatly increased. The *Populaire*, an infamous Leftist daily, had seen its circulation decline from 140,000 in 1936 to 60,000 at present. The Marxists also expressed grave concern over the decline in anti-clericalism as evidenced by the steady shift from secular to private schools. In one department the number of children attending public schools had dropped 18,000 and the number attending private schools has increased 15,000 between 1908 and 1937.

A not unimportant factor contributing to the present decline of the left extremists in France is the increasing corruption in political life. Scandal after scandal involving graft on the part of socialist officials in city government has broken during the past few years. The people are literally nauseated by the stench of the chronic peculations of their representatives in government. What they yearn for is a regime of peace, plenty, decency and patriotism. The leftist leaders are anti-patriotic and pro-war. In the pursuit of these tendencies there can be neither decency nor plenty.

CLEVELAND
TOLEDO
FORT WAYNE
PORT HURON

•

RADIO STATION CKLW
(1030 kilocycles)
WINDSOR AND DETROIT
is your outlet for
THE VOICE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

•

Twice Daily
3 and 7 p. m.

•

"Know the Truth and the Truth shall keep you free"

Aliens Reduce France to an 'English Colony'

By PHILIP JOHNSON

PARIS, FRANCE — I have just spent some weeks in this unhappy capital. Do not believe what you read so often in your American papers, that the people here are unified and courageously prepared for the worst. Just the opposite is true; they are afraid for the future. They are unprepared, split into a thousand factions. It is true they are less vocal in their expression of differences because of their fear of war, but the differences still exist.

M. Daladier makes fine speeches about unity, but it remains a pious hope. *You cannot have unity between French nationalism and Russian Communism any more than between health and sickness.* You cannot have unity between employers bent on destroying the workers and the workers bent on destroying the employers.

M. Daladier remains premier, not because he is a leader, but because he does nothing to offend any faction or political group in France. He has to try to please everyone. He is the slave of the French chatter-box parliament.

Clique Tactics Stir Up Anti-Semitism

Lack of leadership and direction in the State has let the one group get control who always gain power in a nation's time of weakness—the Jews. Some French believe they are better off now than they were in the regime of Blum and his assistants, Messrs. Blumel, Gumbach, Bloch and Moch. But a great many Frenchmen say to me, "What have we gained in changing from one clique to another? Are Messrs. Mannheimer, Dreyfuss, de Rothschild, Zay and Mandel any better?"

Daladier's government is indeed weak against the Communists, Socialists, bankers, and big capitalists, but in one direction it is strong—against the patriots who dare mention the Jewish question. Two patriot weeklies, *Le Defi and La France Enchainee*, have been suppressed just since I have arrived. Other Nationalist and Catholic editors tell me how they have been muzzled. Meanwhile, the Daladier government finds itself too weak to suppress the Communist daily, *L'Humanite*, which every day breaks the law against foreign propaganda.

Meanwhile, also, the government finds itself too weak to take a stand against atheism and remove the ban against Catholic religious congregations, which even today are not allowed the right of assembly or instruction. It would seem that only Jews have freedom in the Third Republic. Small wonder one hears so many reports of growing anti-Semitism among the common people of France.

France is Like An English Colony

And in foreign affairs, France's weak government has made her a second class nation. As Charles Maurras of the French Academy writes, "Let us never forget. The German regime is strong. And the French regime is miserably weak." It is true. In world affairs France cannot call her soul her own. England decides everything for her and it is easy to see in whose interest the decisions are made.

The editor of one of the greatest papers in France told me with some bitterness, "France today is only a dominion in the British Empire. Chamberlain is the Foreign Minister of France. England has three armies on the continent, the Polish, the Turkish and the French, which she intends to use to fight her wars for her."

This seems to be the fact. Consider the Turkish alliance. To get this treaty England had to give Turkey something, but what she gave was not a piece of the vast possessions of Great Britain, but a part of the French empire! And France had to acquiesce.

But let France speak for herself. The following was told me by a patriotic Frenchwoman, a well-known writer and journalist, whose name I must withhold for obvious reasons.

"My heart aches for the future of my country. When I see my beloved city of Paris overrun with German, Czech and Hungarian Jews, I say to myself are these the "Frenchmen," who with their "French" cousins are to rule France? And am I not even to be allowed to raise my voice against it?

"Where is the France of Louis XIV? Our Blums and Mandels have lost us our natural allies, Italy and Spain; and England treats us like a colony.

"With our internal affairs in the hands of Jewish bankers, our foreign affairs in the hands of Great Britain, and our country rent by dissension, what is to be the end for France? Who will save her?"

Behind THE NEWS
by "Yours Truly"

Hon. Franklin D. Roosevelt
The White House
Washington, D. C.
Dear Mr. President:

We note with a great deal of satisfaction that, despite six years of attempts by the Executive to change America's form of government, and to supersede our democracy with a modified form of dictatorship, the American people still retain their zeal and love for the Republic.

Lately, more than ever, the people have taken seriously their sovereign right to inform their elected representatives in Congress than any attempt to modify, amend or change the present neutrality law would be a grave violation of the trust that the nation has imposed in its legislators.

Despite the fact that braintrusters, through pet columnists and subsidized scribblers, have lampooned this expression of the will of the sovereign people as "government by wire and postcard," we believe that the people, making their will known to their elected representatives, *were functioning as a democracy.*

Critics will assert that the American people were "whipped into this democratic frenzy by demagogues, rabble-rousers and radicals." But, my dear Mr. President, have not you and your administration oracles used the same tactics to form the popular mind and spur the populace to action? We remember that for six years you have used your right as a citizen—even though you were the Chief Executive—to appeal to your fellow citizens in support of your measures for reform and recovery.

We realize that the defeat of three bills sponsored by the administration was a stunning blow to you, especially in view of your third term aspirations and your devotion to the philosophy of the New Deal, but the defeat of these measures ought to indicate that the people have tired of ineffectual attempts to effect reform and recovery through stabilization funds, exorbitant spending in public works, and the pretext of a war emergency dictatorship.

However, we note with considerable justifiable fear that the administration has not accepted the expressed will of the people as final and irrevocable. We were aware that an administration "must" on a bill, and administration pressure applied to legislators, smacks of dictation, but, realizing that in a great democracy the citizens are supreme, we d[illegible] not fear.

However, we are surprised that after Congress had voted according to the instructions of the sovereign people, and refused to endorse unneutral "neutrality," and the monetary and spending program of the administration, you and your spokesmen have indulged in recriminations of the Congress.

Would it not be more honest to hurl your recriminations at the sovereign people?

We note that administration leaders in Congress now threaten to go over the heads of the people and bring these matters to the attention of the judiciary. Certainly, you must realize that such a step would mean the destruction of democracy through the perversion of the judiciary and the indirect annihilation of the legislature.

—Yours Truly

WHAT IS COMMUNISM?

THE HON. WINSTON CHURCHILL, in an essay on Leon Trotzky, which appears in *"Great Contemporaries"* (published by G. P. Putnam's Sons) answers this question.

We believe it to be an answer by a qualified expert on the subject.

Says Mr. Churchill:

"Communism is not only a creed. It is a plan of campaign. A Communist is not only the holder of certain opinions; he is the pledged adept of a well thought out means of enforcing them. The anatomy of discontent and revolution has been studied in every phase and aspect, and a veritable drill book prepared in a scientific spirit for subverting all existing institutions.

"The method of enforcement is as much a part of the Communist faith as the doctrine itself. At first the time-honored principles of Liberalism and Democracy are invoked to shelter the infant organism. Free speech, the right of public meeting, every form of lawful political agitation and constitutional right are paraded and asserted. Alliance is sought with every popular movement towards the left.

"The creation of a mild Liberal or Socialist regime in some period of convulsion is the first mile-stone," Mr. Churchill goes on. "But no sooner has this been created than it is to be overthrown. Woes and scarcity resulting from confusion must be exploited. Collisions, if possible attended with bloodshed, are to be arranged between the agents of the New Governments and the working people. Martyrs are to be manufactured. An apologetic attitude in the rulers should be turned to profit. Pacific propaganda may be made the mask of hatreds never before manifested among men.

"No faith need be, indeed may be, kept with non-Communists. Every act of good will, of tolerance, of conciliation, of mercy, of magnanimity on the part of governments or statesmen is to be utilized for their ruin. Then, when the time is ripe and the moment opportune, *every form of lethal violence from mob revolt to private assassination must be used without stint or compunction.*

"The citadel will be stormed under the banners of Liberty and Democracy; and, once the apparatus of power is in the hands of the Brotherhood, *all opposition, all contrary opinions, must be extinguished by death.* Democracy is but a tool to be used and afterwards broken; Liberty but a sentimental folly unworthy of the logician.

"The absolute rule of a self-chosen priesthood according to the dogmas it has learned by rote *is to be imposed upon mankind without mitigation progressively forever.* All this, set out in prosy textbooks, written also in blood in the history of several powerful nations, is the Communist's faith and purpose.

"To be forewarned should be to be forearmed!"

Democracy's Goal 'Above Religion'

FROM WASHINGTON, D. C., last week, the representatives of an advertising bureau began distributing nationwide a speech reputedly delivered by the Hon. Frank Murphy, former Governor of Michigan, now Attorney General of the United States. The speech was one delivered some time ago by Mr. Murphy at the Yeshiva Jewish College, New York City.

Some illuminating, not to say startling, high points in Mr. Murphy's address, are quoted below:

"You know, and most intelligent men know and willingly concede, the greatness in governmental realms of Disraeli and Oscar Strauss; in finance, of the Rothschilds and Jacob Schiff; in science, of Einstein and Flexner; in philosophy, of Spinoza and Marx; of Rubenstein in music; and of Stephen Wise and Nathan Strauss."

Again, Mr. Murphy:

"Added to these similarities in faith, Christian and Jew have in common a goal which, in a sense, *is larger and more vital than religion itself.* That goal is the fulfillment of the ideal of democracy in its every phase . . . political, social and economic."

Attorney General Murphy is on the spot. His words place the fulfillment of the *ideals of democracy* as more important than the fulfillment of the ideals of religion.

These words of wisdom from the lips of the Attorney General of the United States, before a Jewish college in New York, will create tremendous discussion. With conscience-minded religious citizens throughout the nation, these words of the former Governor of Michigan will not add to Mr. Murphy's reputation.

It is an unfortunate utterance. Moreover, it is almost incredible!

* * *

Browder Tells How to Win

IN A REPORT ON the 1940 elections, delivered at a meeting of the National Committee of the Communist Party, held in New York City, May 6 to 8, Earl Browder, general secretary of the Communist Party in America, told "how the people can win."

Read, and think about, these quotations from the Browder report:

" . . . No people in all the world believes Chamberlain is serious in his proclaimed policy of halting aggression—except to the extent that Chamberlain displays a serious interest in securing the co-operation of the Soviet Union. That fact proves, with finality, that the great majority in all lands do understand and approve the foreign policy announced by Stalin."

Remember that, and where you saw it. The general secretary of the Communist Party in the United States, in an official report before his party's National Committee, says the great majority in all lands understands and approves Butcher Stalin's foreign policy.

Again quoting Browder's official report:

"The Democratic party, always a minority, found its only chance of victory in espousing the popular or 'radical' cause. . . .

"The progressive and Democratic majority is a coalition between the Democratic Party and the independent radical one-third of the electorate. President Roosevelt has embodied that coalition, and by his leadership has consolidated and strengthened it. If the coalition is to continue through the 1940 election to victory, it can only be under the same type of leadership and policy. Both candidate and platform, to emerge from the Democratic party convention next year, must meet this test."

Please remember, you are reading the official report to the *Communist National Committee.*

Read further:

"The surest way for the Democratic forces to prevent pro-Fascist reaction from winning the Government in 1940 would be with a New Deal candidate for the office of President, *a candidate of the political position of President Roosevelt!*

". . . We share with the majority of the population the urgent desire to maintain and strengthen the unity of the majority, which is supporting President Roosevelt and the New Deal, against its reactionary enemies who are in a minority, but who hope to return to power by splitting the majority."

End quote, Earl Browder's report to the National Committee of the Communist Party.

* * *

'Democracy' Relies on Foreign Aid

THERE ARE TWO TYPES of people in America: Those who speak for democracy and those who act for democracy. To the first division belong the members of the Communist Party, the "American League for Peace and Democracy," the German-American Bund and other such organizations. To the latter division belong such men as Representative Jerry Voorhis of California.

A few days ago Mr. Voorhis spoke at the fifth annual Camp Tamiment Economic and Social Institute in Pennsylvania. In strong, carefully considered language, he described what is going to befall America if the activities of the Reds, fellow travelers, and other "ism" worshipers are left unchecked.

"The very presence in this country of organizations of the Left which include supporters of the Communist International," Mr. Voorhis warned, "will inevitably lead to the formation of organizations of the Right, composed not only of 'Nazis' and 'Fascists' but of a large number of Conservatives who would have nothing to do with them were they not convinced—right or wrong—that the Left had set no other limit for the policies it would tolerate or even pursue.

"In like manner, of course, a successful Fascist front will drive progressives further and further to associations with totalitarians of the Left. The end result of such a tendency is one that I do not like to contemplate.

"What we need in this country is more people who will fight as hard against the totalitarianism of one as they do against the totalitarianism of the other extreme. *Upon such a group the future of democracy (and America) depends."*

Mr. Voorhis concluded his address by stating that he was absolutely convinced that Fascist and Communist movements in this country are financed from abroad. He urged that the American people find for themselves evidence to support this charge.

SOCIAL JUSTICE welcomes Mr. Voorhis' appeal. There is not the slightest doubt that if this Government were to compel the Communist Party and "Fascist" organizations to reveal their financial operations, information would be disclosed that would damn both groups in the eyes of Americans.

For once it is established that both the Communists and Fascists are financed by foreign powers, Americans will recognize these organizations as plotting actual treason against the sovereign and independent Government of the United States. And that their propagandists are no less than paid foreign agents.

* * *

Labor Warned of Red Wreck

ANOTHER SPEAKER at the Camp Tamiment conference was Louis Waldman, bitter foe of Communism's strength within the ranks of American Labor Party, of which he is an official.

One of the cleverest and most diabolical tricks of the Reds, says Waldman, is to smear every opponent of Communism and any critic of the New Deal with the label "Fascist." The pity is that so many persons have been taken in.

"The people of the United States know that the 'United Front' is only a united front in favor of Communism," he asserted. "The United Front is not advocated by its proponents in the interests of American democracy."

Unfortunately, a large percentage of the people do not realize the "United Front" is a Communist tool.

New Deal leaders, including the President, wittingly or unwittingly, have aided and abetted the Communist movement by their tolerance of Reds—a tolerance which has reached the stage of encouragement.

During the recent Spanish civil war New Dealers, almost to a man, agitated for the removal of the embargo in order to permit shipment of arms and food to the Reds of the Loyalist government who were fighting a Soviet-directed campaign to exterminate Christianity.

Mr. Waldman warned: "If the New Deal continues to accept the Communists as allies, and does not immediately repudiate them, the New Deal will be wrecked."

He was right. But he should have added that not only will the New Deal be wrecked, but also the whole structure of American constitutional government under which we enjoy the freedom of speech, liberty and conscience.

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

Rev. Chas. E. Coughlin, LL.D.
President, Social Justice Publishing Co. and Editorial Counsel

Published by The Social Justice Publishing Co.

16 PRINCIPLES OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

Liberty of conscience and education.
Just living annual wage.
Nationalization of important public resources.
Private ownership of all other property.
Control of private property for public good.
Abolition of Federal Reserve Banking System and establishment of a government-owned Central Bank.
Restoration to Congress of its sole right to coin and regulate the value of money.
Cost of living maintained on an even keel.
Cost of production plus a fair profit for the farmer.
Labor's right to organize.
Recall of non-productive bonds.
Abolition of tax-exempt bonds.
Broadened base of taxation on basis of ownership and capacity to pay.
Simplification of government and lower taxes.
Conscription of wealth as well as men in event of war.
Sanctity of human rights preferred to sanctity of property with government's chief concern for the poor.

This Time It's Elliot

COMMENTING ON THE NEW CODE of conduct for radio stations, under discussion at the broadcasters' convention a week ago, SOCIAL JUSTICE gave utterance to the suspicion that the tentative draft had been written particularly with Father Coughlin's broadcasts in mind.

Confirmation of this was presented to the nation in the radio address of Elliot Roosevelt, president of the Texas State Network, Inc., ex-member of the board of the National Association of Broadcasters and son of the President of the United States.

Federal censorship of all radio, said young Roosevelt, *"Might not be too high a price to pay if it will help to insulate us against the anti-Semitic oratory of that Radio Priest"*—and incidentally, silence Father Coughlin for the political campaign of 1940!

Members of the Roosevelt family seem to be especially sensitive to any attack upon Communism or to anything which they regard as anti-Semitic.

No more libelous or abusive attack upon Father Coughlin has been uttered by any of the "smear brigade." The cowardly aspect of the radio attack, of course, is that while speaking as an individual radio owner the nation is expected to accept him as the son of the President and therefore a sort of "Little Sir Echo" of the New Deal's thinking.

Young Mr. Roosevelt's omissions were more eloquent than his statements. For example, he said of Father Coughlin: *"He warned that it had to be Roosevelt or ruin. Four years later the same voice was shrieking, 'Roosevelt and ruin.'"* Factually true, Mr. Roosevelt, but why? What happened in those four years to make the eloquent supporter of President Roosevelt his subsequent critic? It seems to us that young Mr. Roosevelt opened the door wide in inviting Father Coughlin to debate his reasons for changing his views on Elliot's illustrious father as a functioning President.

Another eloquent omission—not to say an outright "boner"—was Elliot Roosevelt's reference to the late Senator Huey Long. Said he: *"The Kingfish died before Coughlin's enthusiasm turned to 'cool'."* Now as a matter of historical fact, Mr. Roosevelt, Senator Long did not die—he was murdered under the most mysterious circumstances! Why? The investigation into that question is still "too hot" for even the Federal Government to handle. Why? Maybe it was a mistake for Elliot to open that up just now, on the eve of a campaign year down South. The country is quite certain to insist upon the belated investigation.

And then there was the rather novel Roosevelt concern for the *"interest and dignity of the church."* Said he: "The public spectacle of a man of God handing out epithets and indulging in tirades can only be distressing to those who have the interest and the dignity of the church at heart."

What interest, what dignity, of what church, do what Roosevelts have at heart? The family's plural marriages certainly do not show any particular concern for the interest and dignity of religion or the public morals.

No, *the un-elected Roosevelts simply talk too much.*

By the time these words can be printed Father Coughlin will probably have replied to the Roosevelt attack. The Mutual Broadcasting System, which bars Father Coughlin's anti-Communist sermons from its network, is by the rules of its own code obliged to extend the use of the same stations used by Mr. Roosevelt for Father Coughlin's answer.

We have every confidence that Father Coughlin will always prove himself competent to answer his detractors.

Soviet an Aggressor

THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE has been so twisted and perverted in the hands of war propagandists that many words have completely lost their meaning. Imperialist empires are now called "democracies;" American patriots are called either "Fascists" or "anti-Semites;" and Communists according to the modern terminology have become liberty worshiping "democrats."

The greatest case in point is the attempt on the part of the war propagandists to describe Soviet Russia as a peace-loving, non-aggressor nation. To offset this theory, may we quote from a recent article in the *Catholic Herald* of London.

"The record of Soviet Russia in the field of territorial aggrandizement," says the article, "is far worse than anything alleged against those nations which are exhibited in the popular press as incarnations of lawless aggression. If Germany or Italy has looked over the Czech or Albanian fence, *Russia has stolen half the horses of Asia!*"

The article then goes on to describe how in 1919 the Red government of Moscow, after recognizing the independent Ukrainian State, brazenly invaded the nation and chained it to the Soviet yoke.

The same thing happened in the case of the state of Georgia in the Caucasian Mountains. In 1920 the Russians established its independence by treaty and then one year later sent three armies into the country and abolished the autonomous government.

In 1923 came the Soviet subjugation of Outer Mongolia, a territory of some 600,000 square miles inhabited by Mongols; and ten years later the Reds began boring from within in Sinkiang, one of the largest provinces in China. Today, the Reds are in complete control in that province, and are beginning to spread their tentacles over the neighboring area of Szechuan.

Thus, since 1918, the Russian government has forcibly seized lands comprising an area of some 1,600,000 square miles and containing some 40-million inhabitants. Germany and Italy on the other hand, have taken territories comprising only 43,000 square miles and containing 10-million inhabitants.

Yet in the eyes of most American newspapers, only the Fascists are the aggressors.

Old Age Pensions

At present the United States Government matches dollar for dollar any amount up to $30 a month put up by states for old age pensions. But this, evidently, was not enough for the Senate. By a vote of 43 to 35, Senators passed a program that would double the Government's outlay for old-age security. The measure adds $80-million a year to the already heavy expenditures for pensions.

Commenting on the bill, Senator Arthur Vandenberg of Michigan declared it "to be the beginning of those steps which are gradually going to build an ever-increasing benefit outside the purview of the taxes to pay them," and intimated that it might lead ultimately to such an increased burden of payroll taxes that revolt against the whole system would destroy it.

Our view is not as strong as Mr. Vandenberg's. We look with great misgivings upon any legislation that adds expense to a government that has been running on the red side of the ledger for the past seven years, but at the same time we admit that needy people in old age should have the benefit of pensions. Our principal objection to the measure is that it grants money to people indiscriminately, whether they be rich or poor.

Of course, if our economic system were functioning as it should, there would be little need for old age pensions. People during their lifetime would be able to make a profit out of their life's work and use this profit to support them during their old age. Therefore the sensible solution to the whole controversy is to bring back prosperity.

Scaring Themselves

The dissemination of war scares throughout the world has reached a stage where even the warmongers are growing alarmed. In an article in the French paper *Le Journal*, Andre Tardieu, former Premier of France and one of the authors of the terrible Treaty of Versailles, wrote:

"On June 30, toward afternoon, certain people who are prominent—I do not designate them, although I know them—spread in Paris a rumor that the same night we should be engaged in a war over Danzig. General Gamelin, the chief of the defense forces, was recalled urgently from Nice. Arriving in Paris he found that nothing had occurred. The same waves of alarmism blew over France last September and March. It is time that all that stopped."

Echoing Mr. Tardieu's words, Karl von Weigand, veteran foreign correspondent, wrote a dispatch from Danzig, the same day press reports were describing the free city as the center of war preparations. "There is no war scare here," wrote von Weigand. "It is one of the quietest spots in Europe. Viewed from the idyllic calm which pervades Danzig today, it is difficult to evade the impression that this particular war scare was not made in Germany, but rather in Warsaw—and for reasons not quite clear in London."

Thus truthful reporting is ignored while dispatches containing even the slightest hint of war are plastered over the front pages of our newspapers from coast to coast.

World's Fare

THE SMEAR SHEET, *Equality*, for which SOCIAL JUSTICE predicted a short life, has disappeared from New York newsstands to be replaced by *The Voice*, ostensibly the organ of an anti-Coughlin Catholic group. Since *The Voice* can be bought at any Communist stand which sells the *Daily Worker* and *New Masses*, it seems that a more accurate title might be *"Little Sir Echo."*

* * *

"What you have seen thus far is only a tea party compared to what we will have August 31, when the workers realize they are going to be hit twice more." From SOCIAL JUSTICE? No, you're wrong. The forecaster is David Lasser, Red head of the Workers Alliance; and does he ever know!

* * *

President Roosevelt might have avoided his recent unpleasantness with the United Press if he'd instruct his propaganda ministers to dispense only facts. Wonder if he has ever considered that.

* * *

There's a thin man in Nashville, Tennessee, a 33-year-old evangelist, who says he will not eat again until he gets some satisfaction from Franklin D. Roosevelt. Millions of Americans are daily growing thin for the same reason.

* * *

"It was when the first trained and disciplined apostles of worldwide anti-religion first entered Spain, long before the war, that civil war become inevitable," reports Michael Williams back from his own investigation. Like cancer, the time to eradicate Communism, is before we know we've got it.

* * *

It is the causes for Communism, not its labels, that ought to have disturbed us in America.

* * *

A brief little news dispatch from Mexico reports 16 Christian anti-Communist peasants slaughtered at an election rally. Imagine the headlines if 16 Jews had been assassinated in Europe!

* * *

A firearms plant in Hartford, Conn., is dropping aliens at the request of the F.B.I. Why were non-citizens working there at all? Are we to understand there were not enough jobless Americans to run the powder plant?

* * *

Dr. Eduard Benes, departing for Europe, expects a war to reconstitute Czecho-Slovakia, so he will not return to America. Treated with every hospitality, given degrees and a professorship, Benes used every minute of his time, and our radio facilities, to spread war propaganda in America.

* * *

Huge meteors exploding over Portland, Oregon, and the Detroit area will no doubt be ascribed by certain superstitious persons to an omen that, perhaps, mankind is tottering on the brink of destruction. We rather subscribe to this theory ourselves, but do not need any meteors to tell us; we learn the same thing every time we open a newspaper.

* * *

More than 72-million of our fellow Americans (about 60 per cent of the population) profess no religious faith, belong to no church and seldom, if ever, recognize Divine Providence, a life to come or Christ as the Savior of mankind. We seem to be living in the midst of a wonderful missionary opportunity.

* * *

The Christian for whom this state of affairs arouses the missionary impulse might start by making his own life an attractive contrast to that of the unenlightened "heathens" all about him.

* * *

They say the investigation of corruption in the Louisiana state administration is merely "scratching the surface." Maybe confinement in jail cells would cause more politicians to do something of the same.

* * *

Investigation of a trivial traffic accident disclosed that the offender, a Mr. James Phipps, was not "him" at all, but a woman forced to disguise herself because she could not otherwise get work. The New Deal depression is getting bad when one must change her sex to get a job.

* * *

Mrs. James Roosevelt, 84-year-old mother of the President, said on arriving in France that she thought Franklin, "as far as his health was concerned could stand another term." The point is, could the American people?

The Tragedy of Chamberlain

How the War-Mongers Have Forced England's Return to Collective Security

By DR. J. S. BARNES

IT IS PROBABLY TRUE to say that no responsible statesman in any country is actually planning to make war for his own people; and all peoples certainly dread it. The danger of war lies fundamentally in the ever-present possibility of some responsible statesman making a false move in relation to the two great opposed, underlying natural forces on which evolution of history mainly depends, the one making for growth, the other for conservation.

If, for example, a statesman, representative of those forces making for growth or change, misjudged the situation and went beyond a certain measure in an attempt to change things too quickly, or too radically, he might precipitate a conflict. Similarly, if a statesman representative of those forces making for conservation, or the preservation of the *status quo*, misjudged the situation and adopted an over-rigid attitude, he also might precipitate a conflict.

Both are dealing with forces that require to be carefully steered, if war is to be avoided. Much, accordingly, depends on the judgment and sense of reality of the navigator of any of the important ships of state, on his adaptability and on his ability to compromise with life.

Peace Always in Danger from Change

Life is dynamic; change is not only unavoidable, but salutary. Some nations decay, others rise; new ideas and new ideals, new needs and the consequences of new discoveries, are constantly pressing for expression. Peace is always in danger owing to the continual process of readjustment that is necessary.

So there is nothing immoral in wanting change, any more than there is in wanting to preserve a situation hallowed by tradition and acquired by generations of tenacious efforts and individual self-sacrifice.

If war comes, therefore, through a failure of statesmanship, we may be right in blaming a particular statesman's judgment, but there may be no reason for stigmatizing him as guilty of moral turpitude, unless we can succeed in detecting the cause of his failure as due to an obstinate selfishness in the service of vested interests, to some self-regarding motive of political opportunism, to greed in the service of progress, or to some overriding personal ambition.

Chamberlain at Disadvantage

Every well-informed and fair-minded person without distinction recognizes Prime Ministers Chamberlain, Mussolini and Daladier — especially the first two—as personally disinterested and genuinely animated by a desire to make the world a better place to live in, to be fair, to be ready to give as well as take, to fulfil the principle of distributive justice.

Chamberlain and Mussolini, though the latter is a far greater man, are indeed the protagonists today of the two fundamental forces making for growth and conservation. Because we can without hesitation acquit them both of moral turpitude, we can lift the problem of war and peace, as far as they are concerned, out of the rut of selfishness, self-regarding opportunism, greed and personal ambition.

Of the two, Chamberlain, however, is working in the international field at a great disadvantage. Behind the natural forces making for growth and conservation, there are individual interests consciously aiming at war. From these individual interests Chamberlain is under constant pressure. It is the price that statesmanship has to pay for a democratic, parliamentary regime.

Rarely has SOCIAL JUSTICE been privileged to print such an informed and able analysis of world affairs as is herewith presented from the pen of our distinguished European correspondent, Dr. Barnes. Another article by the same author, discussing Italy's New School Charter, will appear in an early edition of this National Weekly.

—EDITOR.

Dictator Freer to Use Judgment

Mussolini can, on the other hand, snap his fingers at these interests. He is in a position to exorcise them as they arise in his own country. The price that has to be paid for this advantage is not the price of liberty, but the price of regimentation and an over-rigid formalism at home (fortunately modified in the particular case of Italy on account of the strength of Italian individual personality). But he is in a position to act solely on his own judgment and that of his responsible advisers. Chamberlain, however, has to suffer the constant shackling effects of license, within the limits of which the forces of evil work.

The interests consciously aiming at war are insignificant in numbers, but powerful in wealth and activity; and they are flanked by a multitude of fools. It is this multitude of fools who constitute the greatest danger to peace in the world today; for they allow themselves to be exploited by intrigue.

The tactics of the interests consciously aiming at war is to force responsible statesmen, like Chamberlain, to belie their better judgment and to make a false move.

Foes of Christ Working for War

What are these interests?

First and foremost there are the Communists, who work through the popular front organizations, mainly composed of ideologues, a class of fools whom Napoleon dubbed as "more dangerous than mad dogs." In England—symptom, perhaps, of national decadence—these ideologues are numerous. They are the people educated beyond their intelligences: the Major Attlees, the Noel Bakers, the Duchesses of Atholl, the Lord Cecils, the Archibald Sinclairs, the Anthony Edens and even the Winston Churchills.

CHAMBERLAIN

Then there is *a certain class* of Jews, who, quite naturally, desire to see the overthrow of Christendom. They exploit the rank and file of Jews, who see in Liberalism the historical instrument of their emancipation. This class of Jews, who are very powerful because of their wealth and ability to insinuate themselves into key positions of authority, do not themselves believe in either Liberalism or Communism. But they support both. In the one they see a force of moral and social disintegration, in the other a force of destruction in relation to the Christian order. They see beyond the disintegration and the destruction.

On the ruins of Christendom they hope to construct a solid new Jerusalem, which will be neither Liberal nor Communist, but rather a money-dictatorship, Jew controlled. Working with them, hand in glove, are the Freemasons, who have become their catspaws.

It will be observed that all these war-mongering interests — Communist, Jewish, Liberal, Masonic—have one common denominator: a hatred of Christianity. Underlying, indeed, all the issues between the opposing forces of growth and conservation, of rival imperialisms and of rival parties, there is the one eternal struggle—a war of ideas—*the Church of Christ that embraces all men of good will and the Lodges of Lucifer in rebellion against God!*

DALADIER

MUSSOLINI

Munich Made for Stability

Mr. Chamberlain began very well. The crashing failure in the "trouble" over Abyssinia or Mr. Baldwin's and Mr. Eden's attempt to skyrocket British prestige (which since the World War has steadily fallen), and to skyrocket the prestige of the League of Nations (the cornerstone of British foreign policy, aiming at the preservation of vested interests), gave Chamberlain his opportunity. He inaugurated his "policy of appeasement," aiming at "distributive justice," on the basis of which he and Mussolini were agreed that it was both possible and desirable to compromise.

Munich was the first result of his policy. It was a policy of compromise with life. It was based on a realistic view of the essentials of the central European chessboard: the inevitable preponderance of 85-million Germans in a geographical area marked out by nature for a form of unity in diversity; and the absolute need of Germany to expand or burst. It made for peace and stability.

Moreover, as I overheard him say, at the reception given in his honor by Mussolini last January at the Palazzo Venezia: "I could not place my people in the dilemma of having to fight a war, which if we lost would lose our Empire, *and if we won, might mean something very much worse.*" He meant the overthrow of Christendom!

Hitler's Move Cheers War-Makers

But this did not suit the war-mongers. And then it was that Hitler made a false—that is to say, an over-precipitous—move: the absorption of Czecho-Slovakia into the Reich. In point of fact it only made a reality out of a fiction. The falsity of the move did not lie there. It lay in the "dotting of the i's and the crossing of the t's." Patience and gradualness would have obtained exactly the same results in the longer run.

All the good results of Munich, which, with the subsequent victory of Franco had seemed a knockout blow to the enemies of peace, were rendered nugatory. Major Attlee, Mr. Eden and the opportunist Churchill forced the British Prime Minister back onto the old over-rigid policy. And now the war-mongers are jubilant; for they know that there is one kind of false move far more dangerous than precipitous change, and that is the uncompromising defense of vested interests.

This is why they have always supported the humbug of the League of Nations. Now, a new kind of "collective security" is being forged in defense of immobility. All the statesmanship of Mussolini will be required to circumvent it. Being a man of genius, he may well succeed. But the situation has once more grown dangerous.

It has become doubly dangerous owing to the flirtation that Chamberlain has been forced to indulge in with Soviet Russia, which, while determined for the present to keep itself out of war, is as determined as ever to provoke a general conflagration beyond its own borders. A shadow has been cast across the world.

Mr. Chamberlain has not proved to be a big enough man. He has failed to dominate the situation, to impose his own fine judgment. He has allowed himself to compromise with the forces of death, instead of with the forces of life.

Chamberlain has been forced to commit his country to defend impossible positions. If war comes, he has committed his country to the very gamble he was so eager to avoid.

Great Britain Ready to Help Poland Resist German Encroachment

Danzig Corridor Is as Vital to Polish Trade as to Reich

THE NEWS:

FREE CITY OF DANZIG—Great Britain has finally given her word in a sweeping pledge that she will defend Danzig against aggression, whether that come in the form of an armed invasion or by internal change.

The momentous pledge was given by Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain in the House of Commons:

"We have guaranteed to give our assistance to Poland in a case of clear threat to her independence which she considers it vital to resist with her national forces, and we are firmly resolved to carry out this undertaking."

THE BACKGROUND:

The Danzig fire is still crackling. Two viewpoints bear discussion: One is that since Danzig is 96 per cent German, it should be restored to Germany, and that, therefore, no Briton, Frenchman or Pole ought to die for a German city. The other, more far-sighted, insists that France and England have reached the conclusion that Germany must not be allowed to go on making "peaceful conquests" of the most strategic points of Europe, and eliminating one by one all of England's potential allies in the economic war now in progress between Germany and England for control of the world.

The advocates of the first viewpoint claim that, up to the World War, Danzig had been in possession of Germany for a hundred years, that it was snatched away from the Reich by the iniquitous Treaty of Versailles, that it still is predominantly German. These advocates lose sight entirely of the economic picture. It was the German president of the Danzig Senate who summed up the situation thus: *"Our hearts are German, but our stomachs are Polish."*

Danzig Holds Key to Polish Trade

Those who hold this first viewpoint overlook the fact that if Danzig were German, the Polish Corridor would be utterly worthless to Poland. Danzig, though its population is German, is a Polish port entirely dependent on Polish trade for its prosperity. When certain internationalists were profiteering excessively from Polish commerce, Polish initiative built the $100-million city of Gdynia in a supreme effort to insure an adequate outlet for her commerce and industry.

Is this all to be handed over to Germany simply because the population is German?

Is Poland to be strangled economically and her trade to the sea cut off to satisfy an ever-expanding Reich?

Who were the internationalists at Versailles who devised this trap for Christian Poland with no alternative but economic starvation or war?

Those who hold the view that Germany must be stopped know that if Danzig is given to Germany and she proceeds to fortify it, Poland would be as effectively bottled up as London would be by the German occupation of the mouth of the Thames.

Crisis Is Step in Larger Scheme

With Poland out of the way by the German possession of Danzig, the way through Southeastern Europe would be practically open for the century-old expansion plans of Prussia, which have steadily been fulfilled, despite periodical reverses, since 1640. It is a much larger question than merely allowing Poland to plunge herself, and England and France, into war. There is, of course, no reason in the world why America should be drawn into the murky waters of cross-current European politics.

In a speech on May 5, 1939, Colonel Beck, the Foreign Minister of Poland, said if the government of the Reich is guided by two conditions, namely, peaceful intentions, and peaceful methods of procedure, all conversations are possible.

In a speech on April 28, 1939, Chancellor Hitler stated that if the Polish government wished to come to fresh contractual obligations governing its relations with Germany, he would welcome such an arrangement. But Hitler, in 1934, made a treaty with Marshal Pilsudski of Poland, *guaranteeing the status quo of Danzig for ten years!* This is still in effect, but since March the Germans have instituted a press campaign and certain other tactics against Poland for possession of the Free City of Danzig. With the examples of Austria, Czecho-Slovakia, and Memel before Polish eyes, Poland decided to resist all encroachments with force and guarantees from stronger nations to save themselves from a like fate.

Is Japan Again Testing Soviet War Temperature?

THE NEWS:

TOKYO, JAPAN—A Japanese force trapped 4,000 Russians in a pass near Lake Bor. The victory was made possible by Japanese airmen who sighted the Red Mongols and enabled the land forces to surround them. Several Russian planes which tried to aid were shot down.

THE BACKGROUND:

That harrassing war in the Far East between the little brown men of Japan and the little yellow men of China, which by the way is still officially called an "incident" in Tokyo, has recently been driven from the headlines by news of a series of mysterious conflicts between the Japanese and the Russians.

The conflict dates back to 1923 when the Reds from Russia invaded Northern China and carved out for themselves a huge puppet state known as Outer Mongolia. There is no doubt that Russian aggression seized the huge land to give the Soviet a strategic advantage in event of a serious Russo-Japanese war.

Soviet Aggression Receives Silence

It is an interesting fact that when speaking of Outer Mongolia, the world press—and that includes America—never says anything about "Soviet aggression." However, when the Nazis took over Austria and Czecho-Slovakia, there was a howl heard round the world. But when Communistic Russia, with Lenin directing the *coup*, seized Outer Mongolia, *which is more than seven times as large as Austria and Czecho-Slovakia combined, there wasn't even a whisper of protest.*

Why?

Another interesting fact is that Outer Mongolia, which is only a puppet state for Moscow, supplying the slant-eyed Mongols with money, ammunition, and guns, and food, is closed to all travelers except Russians. In the U.S.S.R. it is known as the "Mongolian People's Republic."

Japan not to be caught napping, leased territory around the Port of Dairen, South Manchuria, where they have a large force known as the Kwantung army. This territory is not a part of Manchoukuo, but a direct leasehold from China to the Japanese Empire.

Japanese Versus Soviet Domination

In 1931, the Japanese took over Manchoukuo to serve as a buffer between Japan and Russia, between Russia and China, and as a base of operations in the event of a new Russo-Japanese war. Japan asserts that Soviet Russia has been trying to "Communize" all of Eastern Asia for the last fifteen years which is true. Japan, in turn, has announced her policy of "Asia for Asiatics." In other words, she is going to "Nipponize" all of Eastern Asia.

When will Christianity ever be given a chance to Christianize Asia?

A year ago a series of important Soviet-Japanese clashes occurred at Changkufeng hill. Japan claimed that territory belonged to her. Several hundred were killed, but Russia kept the hill. Was Japan taking the war temperature of Russia?

Why Japan Wants Outer Mongolia

The present clashes in the Lake Bor region are probably a new test by Japan to see what the chances are for taking over Outer Mongolia, with a population of about 800,000.

Why should Japan want Outer Mongolia? First, to hinder the proposed Anglo-Russian negotiations. Second, the seizure of Outer Mongolia would deprive China of one of her life-lines of supplies in the present conflict with Japan. Third, it would put Japan within striking distance of the Trans-Siberian railroad, Russia's vital military link with Siberia. Fourth, it would permit Japan to be at the borders of Russia for a pincer move in conjunction with Germany against Russia. Fifth, it would give Japan a new buffer state and destroy the Communist-dominated government of Outer Mongolia. Sixth, it would be a tremendous victory for Japanese prestige with the home-folk, who are reportedly perplexed at the stalemate in China, although Japan is fighting Russian, England and French strength in conjunction with Chinese stubbornness.

Thus, there is more behind these periodical border clashes than usually appears in print.

English Resort to Grain Barter to Beat Bankers

THE NEWS:

WINNIPEG, MANITOBA — Mr. John Bracken, Premier of Manitoba, has gone to Ottawa to complete negotiations on a barter basis for the transfer of 10-million bushels of Manitoba wheat to Germany in exchange for electrical apparatus and sugar-plant machinery. The financing arrangements, what there was, or rather the authorization came from London, England.

THE BACKGROUND:

This is a most important piece of news. First of all, the exchange of one's own surplus of goods for another's surplus of a different kind, is the basis of all trade from earliest times.

However, with the introduction of money, followed by its private control, the goods of the world have been "in hock" while the great world bankers refused to distribute them unless the parties would give the bankers their "cut" in the form of interest. If those in dire need of the world's surplus could not pay the tribute, the goods were allowed to rot or were wantonly destroyed, or as under the new technique, they are not even permitted to be grown.

This control by the bankers of the legitimate trade of the world is being contested by the Germans. They have deliberately cut themselves off from the bank-controlled international trade in order to be masters of their own house.

Now we see England, the century-old citadel of the money power, apparently imitating the tactics of its rivals. There is more behind the picture. England has money for loans to Poland, Greece, Turkey, and Roumania amounting to over $1½-billion dollars. But New Zealand was refused a loan, Australia could not borrow a mere $25-million, and Newfoundland is operated by commissioners from the Bank of England who are nothing more or less than bailiffs.

Action, the English journal states pointedly: "International usury is generous enough to its mercenaries in Eastern Europe, when it is a question of mobilizing and equipping them for the encirclement of those people who have thrown off the yoke of the money power.

"There is an unprecedented glut of wheat with world production at 120-million tons, and yet the English government encourages the purchase of Roumanian wheat to prevent the Germans getting it, while Canadian wheat rots in the elevators.

"No wonder Manitoba does a deal with Hitler! Other Canadian provinces will no doubt follow suit, until the government learns to put the Empire before Eastern Europe."

Watch this page for more on these barter deals. They are a most significant index of the shaky condition of the world money power!

The Box Score:

A Battle of Planes—By Words

(From the Baltimore Sun)

"Several weeks ago a series of aerial battles began between Russo-Mongolian and Japanese forces along the Manchoukuo-Outer Mongolia border. As to the results—Moscow says one thing, Tokyo another, below, given for what it is worth, is a summary of the conflicting reports on the number of planes shot down.

Battle Date	Tokyo Version Russ. Jap.	Moscow Version Jap. Russ.
May 28	59 to 0	3 to 9
June 22	49 to 4	31 to 12
June 24	12 to 0	25 to 2
June 25	3 to 1	No score
June 26	120 to 3	7 to 6
July 4	53 to 0	45 to 9
July 8	25 to 0	No score
	341 to 8	111 to 38

Note: Tokyo puts the total of enemy planes shot down at 390.

Brands N.M.U. Officials Red

Representative Says He Was Dismissed for Being Anti-Communist

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C. — Suspended from his position as legislative representative of the National Maritime Union, Ralph Emerson recently defied a summons to appear before Union officials for a hearing.

He characterized the proposed proceedings as a "mock trial" which would be conducted by "outright Communists or pro-Communist officers" of the Union.

COMMENT:

Mr. Emerson apparently had made the mistake of trying to advance the cause of legitimate union labor during his two and one-half years' service as representative of the National Maritime Union. Perhaps his efforts had been spent in assisting laborers to secure fair wages and working conditions.

This, of course, is not the aim of the Communist leaders who now have such a loud voice in affairs of the Maritime Union and Mr. Emerson has learned that from his association with them.

"The Communists are in control of the Union, and I couldn't represent them anyway, so I cheerfully accept my dismissal," he said. *"This fight is strictly a case of Communists against anti-Communists and I'm with the anti-Communists."*

Reds are notorious for their practice of camouflaging their Moscow propaganda under outbursts for democracy and labor. Their protestations of "Americanism" have won thousands of supporters among those who cannot or will not see through the deceit and lies of Soviet propagandists. Fortunately for America, however, everyone is not duped by these tactics.

Mr. Emerson is among the more perceiving who realize the extent to which Communism has infiltrated the labor movement. In a letter to the Maritime Union he declared that the sole cause for his ouster was that he is not a Communist. He backed up his charge with the statement that no reflection had been cast upon the legislative work he had done here on behalf of maritime workers.

Mr. Emerson confined his activities, probably, to helping the laboring man, and that is treason to the Communist Party. To be a good Red, one must not actually *help* the laboring man—one must merely *promise* to help him.

Jews Join Catholics, Protestants in Co-op Plan

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C. —The Central Conference of American Rabbis, in convention here recently, endorsed co-operative economic movements as means of bringing about a greater measure of equity to men and as a safeguard of democracy.

In this action the Conference joined the Federal Council of Churches, a Protestant group, and the National Catholic Rural Life Conference, both of which have fostered co-operative programs.

COMMENT:

It is gratifying to see Jews, Protestants and Catholics united in a common cause, one dedicated to securing a more equitable distribution, through peaceful means, of the necessities of life. Only when this has been achieved will democracy be truly successful.

Praiseworthy though this concerted movement may be, however, it cannot attain its noble objective if people are to ignore other threats to democracy—subversive foreign "isms." Communism, Fascism and Nazi-ism. The two latter have been roundly denounced, and justly so, but certain groups, while denouncing them, have neglected and in some cases refused to condemn Communism as well.

Needless to say, American democracy cannot be preserved if Americans are to concentrate their opposition on Fascism and Naziism but turn their backs on Communism while it increases and grows unmolested. That is not saving America for Americans. It is merely smoothing the way and making America safe for Communism.

Limitation of Farm Products No Solution for Agriculture

Publisher Says Problem Is Not Over-Production; Crop Control Has Failed

THE NEWS:

COFFEYVILLE, KANSAS — The collapse of commodity prices and the consequent tremendous decrease in farm income are the basic causes of the depression that has gripped America, Publisher Frank Gannett told the Kansas State Editorial Association recently.

Overproduction of farm products was not responsible for this price decline, nor is limitation of production the means of restoring former prices and prosperity, he declared.

COMMENT:

Mr. Gannett has long recognized that the prosperity of the nation depends upon the prosperity of the farmer—that agriculture is the fundamental industry upon which all other industry depends.

He also shelled the untenable New Deal theory that wealth can be created by curtailing wealth, that is by limitation of production. The Roosevelt Administration has been trying for years to demonstrate how that impossible practice can succeed. Henry Wallace has sought to raise prices and thereby woo prosperity by controlling the production of food and other commodities which the "ill-clothed, ill-fed, and ill-housed" one-third of the nation would consume in abundance had they sufficient purchasing power. Because these millions of unfortunates are *unable* to buy what they need, Mr. Wallace and Mr. Roosevelt conclude that there exists a surplus of those commodities. It is unthinkable that the leaders of a great nation like the United States can reason so illogically.

Mr. Gannett, with cold figures, showed clearly that crop control, rather than raising prices and increasing farm income, has done the exact opposite. It has decreased prices and lowered farm income.

Prices Fell With Acreage Control

Between 1921 and 1929, he pointed out, wheat production averaged 320-million bushels annually, and in that period the price of wheat averaged $1.41 a bushel.

Under crop control system between 1933 and 1937 however, production averaged only 625,900,000 bushels, but the price dropped to 85.6 cents.

The average total value of the crops fell from $1-billion, 156-million to $535-million. Thus we see crop control produced nothing salutary, but instead effected a reduction of more than 100 per cent in farm income.

The same condition prevailed among other crops, the only difference being in degree.

It may be argued that the sharp price and income drops can be attributed to the general depressive conditions existent throughout all business. It is significant, however, that crop control was followed by decreased prices and reduced farm income and therefore contributed to prolonging the depression.

Farmers Sour on New Deal Control of Crops

U. S. Imports Meat Instead of Using American Products

THE NEWS:

DETROIT, MICHIGAN—The *Union Farmer*, official publication of the National Farmers' Guild, published in a recent issue statistics presented by Representative Bertrand W. Gearhart of California which revealed increases of foreign meat imports to this country at the expense of American farmers.

COMMENT:

This situation is typical of the results obtaining from the nonsensical New Deal farm program. The protest registered by the National Farmers' Guild carries added strength by virtue of the fact that farmers themselves, whom the New Deal purports to aid, are in bitter disagreement with the Administration's absurd measures.

Commenting on Congressman Gearhart's disclosures, the *Union Farmer* pointed out the advantages which would have accrued had American farmers been allowed to raise the hundreds of thousands of cattle and millions of pounds of pork sold in the American market by foreign countries.

In addition to the revenue from the sale of those products, the farmer would have used vast quantities of corn and other feed, and would have utilized many acres of pastures, "for which, no doubt, he was getting a fat check from Washington to have the land stand idle."

Gearhart Reveals Import Figures

Congressman Gearhart's investigation, revealing the enormous imports of foreign meat, which followed the slaughter of American farmers' pigs, was undertaken after President Roosevelt insisted on the Navy's purchase of corned beef from Argentine instead of from American farmers.

Figures showed that in 1934, when tariff reducing policies went into effect, 59,000 head of cattle were imported from other nations. By 1937 the number had increased to 494,945. Live hog imports totaled 16,555,218 pounds in the same period, and imports of canned meats increased from 46-million pounds to 88-million.

During the week of March 10, 1939, at the port of New York alone, Argentine shipped 170,737 pounds of corned beef and 28,026 pounds of canned roast beef; Brazil sold 270,000 pounds of canned corned beef.

Ham, destroyed in Mr. Roosevelt's infamous pig massacre, was imported from 10 countries that week, Poland heading the list of exporters with a total of 143,901 pounds. Purchases from the four other leading exporters totaled 320,079 pounds.

Farmers' Poverty Grows Worse

Understand, these figures are not total annual imports. They are imports for *only one week* at one port in March of this year.

How President Roosevelt and Henry Wallace can go before the people of this nation and try to justify curtailment of production by American farmers, in the face of these facts, is beyond comprehension. How *can* the President and the Secretary of Agriculture pose as benefactors of American farmers when they discourage production and encourage imports of the very products curtailed at home?

Yet Mr. Roosevelt and Mr. Wallace have been doing that for several years. But their policies have sunk the farmer deeper in the mire of poverty, and have dragged the entire nation along.

REP. GEARHART

Soviet Pressure at World's Fair Bars Ukrainians

THE NEWS:

DETROIT, MICHIGAN — In a letter to the *Detroit News*, M. Z. Timney, a member of the Ukrainian Club of American Citizens, protested that officials of the New York World's Fair had forced cancellation of several scheduled addresses by Ukrainian speakers because Communists objected to them.

Mr. Timney wrote: "The management of the Fair advised the Ukrainians to cancel the addresses of the Ukrainian speakers, after these had been announced and printed in the program, because the latter were opponents of the Communistic political philosophy. The Soviets had threatened to close their pavilion if their wishes were not respected.

"This unsportsmanlike action proves that even here on the free soil of America, the despotic hand of Stalin was instrumental in barring Americans of Ukrainian descent from an American exhibition."

COMMENT:

If this situation had involved Nazis or Fascists the whole country would have been informed of the fact and the people would be up in arms. The League for Peace and Democracy would have howled from now till next May Day. But since the incident involved the dirty, godless dictatorship of Soviet Russia, it was passed over with little if any attention.

How much longer will America wait before it stands up on its patriotic feet and asserts itself? How much longer will Americans allow themselves to be pushed around, bullied and deceived by the Red Rats of Moscow, who are well on their way in their organizational drive to Sovietize America? When will Americans act—and drive out of the country every semblance of Communism that dares to poke its ugly head above the surface of its own rotten, anti-Christian filth?

an answer to FATHER COUGHLIN'S CRITICS

By BEN MARCIN

CHAPTER IX

The 'British White Paper'

ON PAGES 16 and 17 of the General Jewish Council book reproductions of the disputed *"British White Paper"* are printed. Page 16 displays the title page of the *"British White Paper."* A portion of page 17 carries a part of Document Number 8 of the *"British White Paper."*

If your memory serves you correctly you will recall that Father Coughlin had nothing to say of Document Number 8 of the *"British White Paper."* The passage he quoted in his radio addresses did include Section VIII of the *"American Official Services Report."* Why his opponents reproduced Document Number 8 of the *"British White Paper"* is unfathomable by us. This point, however, was covered thoroughly in a previous chapter.

Already we have said and Father Coughlin has admitted that the *"American Official Services Report"* was not incorporated in the *"British White Paper."* In his original radio address which caused so much comment on this subject the Radio Priest did say that such was a fact, namely, that the *"American Official Services Report"* had been incorporated in the *"British White Paper."* In his second radio address he also used these words: "In our possession we have a copy of the official *'White Paper'* issued by the British War Cabinet in 1919. This official paper prints the names of the Jewish bankers, Kuhn, Loeb and Company of New York City, among those who helped to finance the Russian revolution."

Statement Not in 'White Paper'

The Rev. Charles E. Coughlin possessed a photostat copy of the unabridged edition of the famous *"British White Paper"*; but he nevertheless telephoned Father Fahey in Dublin to ascertain if it were true that the *"American Official Service Report"* had been incorporated in the particular edition of the *"British White Paper"* which he possessed. The Radio Priest phoned specifically because the *"American Official Service Report"* was not contained in the editions of the *"British White Paper"* in the possession of libraries of the United States. After phoning the Reverend Father Fahey on November 27, 1938 Father Coughlin said specifically:

"Ladies and gentlemen, bear in mind that Dr. Fahey is quoting the report of the American Secret Service which was later incorporated in the *'White Paper.'* He has made available for all the world to read what was restricted only to the few some years ago."

Keeping these words in mind we can understand why with reason the Radio Priest said in his radio address of the preceding week, "In our possession we have a copy of the official *'White Paper'* issued by the British War Cabinet in 1919. This official paper prints the names of the Jewish bankers, Kuhn, Loeb and Company of New York City, among those who helped finance the Russian revolution."

When the authenticity and truth of his statements were challenged, Father Coughlin phoned Father Fahey and supposedly received his reassurance that the *"American Official Service Report"* was contained in the copy of the *"British White Paper"* which he possessed in Dublin. Since that time Father Coughlin has admitted to us that he misunderstood Father Fahey's answer to his misunderstood question in the trans-oceanic phone conversation and that the *"Service Report"* was not and is not contained in the *"White Paper."*

Now the point is this: While Father Coughlin, through misadventure, and not through malice, attributed a statement contained in the *"American Official Services Report"* to the *"British White Paper,"* his opponents, in some instances, disputed the existence of the statement itself and thereby cast doubt on the real contents of the *"White Paper."* Therefore, permit me to present some thoughts on this disputed document known as the *"British White Paper."*

Original 'White Paper' Suppressed

The *"British White Paper,"* Russia, No. 1, (1919) is a collection of Reports on Bolshevism in Russia. The *"White Paper"* was presented by command of His Majesty George V 1919 (April) to the British Parliament. In less than three weeks after it was published, the original *"British White Paper"* was withdrawn from circulation and an abridged edition of the *"British White Paper"* substituted. The public was then informed that the original unabridged edition was out of print. The foreword to this official publication indicates the nature of the material contained within the *"White Paper":*

"The following collection of reports from His Majesty's official representatives in Russia, from other British subjects who have recently returned from that country, and from independent witnesses of various nationalities covers the period of the Bolshevik regime from the summer of 1917 to the present date. They are issued in accordance with a decision of the War Cabinet in January last. They are unaccompanied by anything in the nature either of comment or introduction, since they speak for themselves in the picture which they present of the principles and methods of Bolshevik rule, the appalling incidents by which it has been accompanied, the economic consequences which it has produced."

Be it observed that the authors of the General Jewish Council book reprint the title page of the *"British White Paper"* but do not mention the existence of the abridged edition and of the unabridged edition; do not draw the distinction between the two; and do not submit the reasons for the suppression of certain pages in the abridged edition which are found in the unabridged edition—pages which are very evidentiary to this whole discussion.

Reports Identify Jews With Communism

However, we will quote passages from the *"British White Paper"* which will substantiate Father Coughlin's statement that the atheistic and irreligious Jews were the leaders of the Communist revolution in Russia.

Page 2

"Sir E. Howard to Mr. Balfour. (Received August 20, 1918.)

"Following is summary of the more important points in a series of dispatches:

"Stockholm, August 19, 1918.

"August 7. I called at temporary prison and saw Greenep, Wishaw and Jerram. They are well-treated by their guards who are real Russians, unlike most of their leaders, who are either fanatics *or Jewish adventurers* like Trotzky or Radek . . . "

Page 3

"Sir M. Findlay to Mr. Balfour. (Received September 18, 1918.)

"Christiana, Sept. 17, 1918.

"Following is Report by Netherlands Minister at Petrograd, the 6th September, received here today, on the situation in Russia, in particular as affecting British subjects and British interests under Minister's protection:—

"'. . . The danger is now so great that I feel it my duty to call the attention of the British and all other governments to the fact that, if an end is not put to Bolshevism at once, the civilization of the whole world will be threatened. This is not an exaggeration, but a sober statement of fact . . . I consider that the immediate suppression of Bolshevism is the greatest issue now before the world, not even excluding the war which is still raging, and unless, as above stated, Bolshevism is nipped in the bud immediately, it is bound to spread in one form or another over Europe and the whole world, as it is *organized and worked by Jews* who have no nationality and whose one object is to destroy *for their own ends* the existing order of things. The only manner in which this danger can be averted would be collective action on the part of all Powers . . . I would beg that this report may be telegraphed as soon as possible in cipher in full to the British Foreign Office in view of its importance . . . .' "

Few Bolsheviks Terrorized Russians

Page 28

"Mr. Alston to Earl Curzon. (Received January 25, 1919.)

"Vladivostock, January 23, 1919.

"Following from High Commissioner:—

" 'Following statements respecting Bolsheviks in Perm and neighbourhood are taken from reports sent by His Majesty's consul at Ekaterinburg. The Omsk government has similar information:—

" 'The Bolsheviks can no longer be described as a political party holding extreme Communistic view. They form a relatively small privileged class which is able to terrorize the rest of the population because it has a monopoly both of arms and of food supplies. This class consists chiefly of workmen and soldiers, and includes a large non-Russian element, such as Letts, Esthonians and *Jews: the latter are especially numerous in higher posts.* Members of this class are allowed complete license, and commit crimes against other sections of society . . .' "

Page 32

"Lord Kilmarnock to Earl Curzon.

"Copenhagen. February 3, 1919.

"My Lord,

". . . A French gentleman who left Petrograd towards the end of January, has given me the following information as regards the situation . . . *'The Bolsheviks comprised chiefly Jews and Germans* . . . The Russians were largely anti-Bolshevik . . .' "

Page 41

"General Knox to War Office.

"Omsk. February 5, 1919.

"With regard to the murder of Imperial family at Ekaterinburg, there is further evidence to show that there were two parties in the local Soviet, one of which was anxious to save the Imperial family, and the latter, *headed by five Jews,* two of whom were determined to have them murdered. These two Jews, by name Vainen and Safarof, went with Lenin when he made a journey across Germany. On pretext that the Russian guard had stolen 70,000 roubles, they were removed from the house between the 8th and 12th. The guard were replaced by a house guard of thirteen, consisting of ten Letts and three Jews, two of whom were called Laipont and Yurowski, and one whose name is not known. The guard was commanded outside the house by a criminal called Medoyedof [alias Medvedeff, Ed. note] who had been convicted of murder and arson in 1906 and of outraging a girl of five in 1911. The prisoners were awakened at 2 A. M., and were told that they must prepare for a journey. They were called down to the lower room an hour later, and Yurowski read out the sentence of the Soviet. When he had finished reading he said, 'And so your life has come to an end.' The Emperor then said, 'I am ready.' An eyewitness who has since died said the Empress and the two eldest daughters made the sign of the cross. The massacre was carried out with revolvers . . ."

Many Reports Cite Jewish Activity

Page 56

"Rev. B. S. Lombard to Earl Curzon.

"Officers' Quarters
8, Rothsay Gardens
Bedford
March 23, 1919.

"My Lord,

"I beg to forward to your lordship the following details with reference to Bolshevism in Russia:—

"I have been for ten years in Rus-

(Continued on Page 11)

Reform of Economic System Only Real Remedy for Relief Problem

W.P.A. Strike Is Rebellion Against Federal Government

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt and Congress are being pressured by organized labor to rescind the provision of the new Federal relief act which abolished the prevailing union wage scale for W.P.A. workers.

Government officials, including the President and Attorney General Murphy, have taken the position that the protest strike by thousands of W.P.A. workers is unjustified.

COMMENT:

Everyone sympathizes with the worker who has been forced out of private, steady employment and obliged to seek work relief from the Federal Government. Everyone knows that the remuneration he receives from W.P.A. is not sufficient to provide him and his family with all the comforts to which they are entitled as good American citizens.

But the fact remains that the United States Government, which derives its revenue from the taxpaying people of this country, cannot afford to support its unemployed on doles comparable with the high standards of private employment. Regrettable though this may be, it is none the less true. Experience has proven that.

Labor, in its demands for relief wages on a par with union scales, has lost sight of the issue completely. George Meany, president of the New York State Federation of Labor, expressed labor's stand last week when he said, ". . . let us remember as trade unionists that a union man, carrying a union card, does not work below the union scale of wages for anybody."

Mr. Meany and the rest of the union leaders, including A.F.L. President William Green and C.I.O. President John L. Lewis, stray far afield with such an attitude.

Unions Take Wrong Attitude

They choose to disregard the fact that W.P.A. is *government relief*, not private employment. They ignore the fact that this relief was instituted by the government for the purpose of providing the unemployed with enough money to enable them to live until private employment could be found. The work done by W.P.A. workers is merely incidental to the government handout.

Industry is able to pay union wages because it makes a profit on goods produced by the workers. The United States Government is not making profit on the labor of W.P.A. workers; it is doling out W.P.A. wages as charity to those who otherwise would be subjected to severe hunger and hardship.

The Works Projects Administration is a part of the Government of the United States, and therefore any strike against W.P.A. is a strike against the United States Government. Rebellion of this nature against government is nothing less than a form of anarchy.

Yet nearly 100,000 relief recipients struck in protest against the law imposed by Congress. In Minneapolis a surging mob of strikers beat a policeman so severely he died, after trying to protect a non-striking reliefer—from intimidation. A few days later one man was killed and 17 persons injured in another strike demonstration.

Claim W.P.A. Rates Depress Wages

Complaint of the unions is that the new set-up, which forced reliefers to work 130 hours a month for the same amount they formerly received for 55 and 80 hours work, will depress the union wage rates in private industry.

This is not at all demonstrable, and judging by the large numbers of reliefers who did not strike, most reliefers do not believe it. W.P.A. projects are in no wise competitive with private industry and its personnel cannot be considered "gainfully employed." Again let it be emphasized that W.P.A. workers are receiving government aid, the same as those receiving direct relief.

Indicative of the turbulence that lies ahead unless some satisfactory solution for the problem is found, are the words of Hugh Van Arsdale, of New York, a spokesman for the Electrical Workers Brotherhood.

Advocates General Government Strike

Said Mr. Van Arsdale: "If Congress deserts the building trades unions, I suggest we strike every government job in the country and not let a wheel move on any job in which the government has an interest."

Whether Mr. Van Arsdale knows it or not, such action would be anarchy—defiance of the authority of the United States Government. Col. F. C. Harrington, W.P.A. commissioner, explained last week how the government would deal with such extreme measures.

But the law does not explain how to handle the 1½-million persons scheduled to be discharged from relief work by next June.

The only real solution, then, is to eradicate the evils of the unjust economic system which has produced unemployment and made relief necessary. That means eradicating the international bankers, establishing a sound money system based upon the wealth of the land instead of the debt of land, and bringing the principles of social justice into our government and our national economy.

Negotiations Continue in General Motors Walk-Out

See Inter-Union Warfare As Real Issue

THE NEWS:

DETROIT, MICHIGAN — Negotiations for settlement of the U.A.W.-C.I.O. strike against General Motors continue without encouraging results as more than 7,000 skilled workers remain idle.

COMMENT:

Although the issue of which faction of the U.A.W. shall be recognized as bargaining agent has not been decided by the courts or by the National Labor Board, the Red-dominated C.I.O. group has called a strike.

The management, though now taking part in negotiations, stands on the firm ground that the C.I.O. faction has no right to demand collective bargaining privileges until the union's dispute with Homer Martin's A.F.L. branch has been settled.

To observers the issue is not one of higher pay or bettered working conditions. It is merely which faction of the U.A.W. shall collect dues from the workers. And the psychology of calling the strike at this time is clear. C.I.O. leaders hope that by winning recognition in a walkout they will thereby succeed in pinning G.M.C. down to exclusive recognition in future contracts negotiated for the entire G.M.C. system. The present strike is confined to tool and die workers and other skilled employes engaged in work on 1940 models.

Crippling industry at the most strategic moments is one of the oldest and most frequently used weapons of Reds. Naturally these tactics are followed by the Reds and Red sympathizers who control the C.I.O. faction of the U.A.W.

This situation is typical of the unrest that has harrassed employers ever since labor groups began to battle among themselves. Hundreds of strikes have been called throughout the country, thousands of innocent men have been forced to leave their jobs, and industrial recovery has been retarded by the selfishness of racketeering and Red labor leaders.

America cannot hope to rid itself of this menace until it cleans up labor, and cleaning up labor means to drive out the Communists who have taken over so many unions and who exert such a commanding influence in the C.I.O.

Answer to Coughlin's Critics

(Continued from Page 10)

sia and have been in Petrograd through the whole of the revolution . . . It originated in German propaganda, *and was, and is being carried out by international Jews* . . .

"The Results.

". . . All business became paralyzed, shops were closed, *Jews became possessors of most of the business houses*, and horrible scenes of starvation became common in the country districts . . . "

Page 58

(1)

"Mr. B.—who has lived in Russia all his life, left Moscow on the 8th February and was interviewed at the Foreign Office on his arrival and supplied the following information—

"'. . . In spite of the appalling conditions prevailing everywhere, the Kremlin is well-supplied with all kinds of food. A servant of the house where Mr. B. stayed had a brother in the Kremlin and he told her that there was an abundance of ham, white bread, butter, sausages, etc."

N. B.—Footnote calls attention to the fact that the true name of the informant has been suppressed because the informant wishes to return to Russia and fears retaliation if he is identified.

This particular information we use to substantiate the fact the Jewish leaders in the Kremlin lived on the fat of the land while they were starving the Russians into subjection through bombings of food trains coming into Petrograd.

Page 65

"Memorandum by Mr. B.

". . . An arrest is the prelude to every kind of corruption; the rich have to pay huge exactions to intermediaries, *who are usually Jews*, before they can obtain their release . . ."

Page 68

"Memorandum by Mr. B.

". . . At the Putilof Works anti-Semitism is growing, probably because the food supply committees are entirely in the hands of the Jews . . ."

Charges Stricken Out in Revision

Although Father Coughlin did indicate and say that a portion of the *"American Official Services Report"* was contained in the *"British White Paper"* and although he was incorrect in doing so, nevertheless, the *"American Official Services Report"* stands by itself as a witness; and the *"British White Paper"* also stands by itself to give testimony which the authors of the General Jewish Council book consider as damaging.

It is regrettable that Father Coughlin did not have time at his disposal to read over the air the entire *"British White Paper"* to validify the point that Bolshevism *"is organized and worked by Jews"*; that Bolshevism is organized and worked by Jews *"for their own ends"*; that Jews *"are especially numerous in higher posts"* of the Bolshevik party; that the Bolsheviks are *"comprised chiefly of Jews and Germans"*; that Bolshevism *"originated in German propaganda and is being carried out by international Jews,"* etc.

Many of these papers contained in the original edition of the *"British White Paper"* were not contained in its expurgated form. And the expurgated form is the one generally referred to by Father Coughlin's opponents.

Bear in mind that in his radio addresses on these disputed subjects Father Coughlin invited religious Jews to join with him in a campaign against Communism; that he intimated that this unfortunate association associated with Communism in the minds of Americans; that he indicated that this unfortunate association already had been accepted; and that the acceptance was based upon statements contained in public documents such as the *"American Official Services Report"* and the *"British White Paper."* Instead of accepting statements such as those contained in the *"British White Paper"* as historical statements, be they true or false in themselves, the authors of the General Jewish Council book, while in saying explicitly that the *"British White Paper"* itself is spurious and, therefore, that its use by Father Coughlin in his radio address was illogical, condemned themselves by inferring that the sources of Father Coughlin's information were either non-existent or existed in such a form that did not bear out the references which the Radio Priest made to them.

From the foregoing chapter this point has been settled, namely, that the unexpurgated, original *"British White Paper"* on Russia published in 1919 does exist and that it does definitely associate Jews with Bolshevism. That was the contention Father Coughlin made. That contention stands on the evidence of the document. Consequently, Father Coughlin's opponents need not busy themselves with attempts to discredit the existence of the *"British White Paper"* or the *"American Official Services Report."* If they care to do so, let them admit the existence of these documents and prove that the contents of the documents themselves are untrue.

(To Be Continued)

It's a Long Jump From Abraham Lincoln to Senator Joe Guffey

Pennsylvanian Tells Why His Support Goes to Mr. Roosevelt Again

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The greatness of America is not so much the efficiency of its industrial machine, the soundness of its government, nor the economic position it has achieved. Its greatness is that it can produce a man like Abraham Lincoln, and a man like Senator Joe Guffey and call them both "politicians."

For it is an awfully long jump from Lincoln to Guffey—if you don't believe it read one of Joe's speeches.

Like Honest Harold Ickes, Cohen & Corcoran, Harry Hopkins, and the rest, Joe understands that the re-election of President Roosevelt is vital, to the preservation of the jobs of deserving Democrats. Therefore Mr. Roosevelt should be re-elected, by all means.

The Senator from Pennsylvania, who believes that America's foremost contribution to the history of political movements is not our republican government, but the $100-a-plate Jackson Day dinner, is frank in stating his reasons for pushing the "Roosevelt—Right or Wrong," movement. He lists them as four:

1. "I am a Democrat."
2. "I am a practical politician."
3. "I am a liberal."
4. "I am an American."

Now no one is going to contest very strongly with Joe on any of those points. He's right in most of them. He fails, however, to make a complete statement in each case.

Joe Guffey Is Our 'American Tragedy'

First Joe is not simply a Democrat, he's a Democratic jobholder and the head of one of the strongest Democratic political machines extant. Secondly, Joe is a most practical politician who knows that re-election, either to the presidency or to a job as county supervisor, lies through the distribution of political patronage.

Then thirdly, Joe *may* be considered a "liberal." He's a liberal spender of other people's money. He's a liberal talker in the name of democracy. He's a liberal patronage passer, and a liberal lambaster of political opponents.

Comes the fourth point, Joe's Americanism. Joe says he is an "American." He is. He's an American tragedy.

Joe has nurtured in his mind the idea that the foremost and compelling reason for electing Mr. Roosevelt again is that the people *haven't yet had an opportunity to see the real Mr. Roosevelt,* and therefore if he were re-elected the people would have *some President!*

Joe Says It's Either 'Roosevelt or Revolt'

Joe says that the "Judges and lawyers *cheated the people* out of President Roosevelt's first term." But now, it is presumed, Mr. Roosevelt has fixed them so they won't have anything more to say about it. Then, *"The ingrates and the middle-of-the-roaders robbed the people of Mr. Roosevelt's second term."* The ingrates, of course, are those who disagreed with him on court packing, on dictatorship, on war-mongering.

And now, "If the Tory politicians and the Big Business magnates succeed in bamboozling the American people for a third time, in 1940, then there's going to be an upheaval which will sweep away all politicians and all Big Business"—so says Joe.

If anything sillier has been uttered in defense of Mr. Roosevelt, the funny papers have missed it.

No Robbery to Keep Mr. Roosevelt Out

If the lawyers and judges had "robbed" the people of Mr. Roosevelt, it wouldn't have been robbery. It would have been the greatest act of humanitarianism ever propagated in the United States. And if Joe thinks anybody would fight in a revolution to save Mr. Roosevelt for a third term, he must think unemployment is more fun than $100 a week to a day laborer.

Joe says one smart thing—an amazing thing for Joe. He says: "I don't kid myself that the American people love their politicians. As I study the election returns, I see the American people getting sick and tired of political machines and political wire-pulling."

That is absolutely correct. And even a man of Joe's limited competence should be able to weigh that fact against his own statement that he is a "practical politician," and see the inevitable result.

Third term for Roosevelt! Joe will be lucky if he's able to wheedle one for himself out of his patronage-soaked political machine.

WASHINGTON MUSIC FESTIVAL

—Chicago Tribune

What Is the Reds' Best Tool? The Lie, of Course

Browder Squawks About Opponents' 'Falsehoods'

NEW YORK, N. Y. — From the Communist standpoint, the greatest invention in the history of the world is the lie—bold, black and dirty.

With the lie as their tool, the Reds are able to attract the teeming masses to their line of warped thought—laborers, peasants, middle-classers, preachers, journalists, actors, dentists, physicians, lawyers, engineers. Without the lie as their tool the Reds are as helpless as Galento in the middle of the fourth round with Joe Louis.

Through all Communist history, from the time of Karl Marx to the time of Fiorello H. LaGuardia, the Reds have depended upon lies—and the bigger the better—to promote their cause. The greatest liars in the world are the Communists, and if a survey of all the noted liars of today were taken and reported, the list would look like the Communist Party ticket in a New York election.

Communist Plan Is a 'Filthy Lie'

And strangely enough, realizing the power of this tool they have employed for their own uses, the Reds are quick to accuse all who oppose them as liars. They grab the dirty stick and cudgel the person of every opponent. But the fact remains that any liar other than a Communist is the rankest form of amateur.

Consider the basic preachment of Communists in this country, the bald statement that Communism has for its purpose the realization of "jobs, security, democracy, prosperity." That is as filthy an untruth as has been told. Communism exists for one purpose only. That purpose is world revolution aiming toward the enslavement of all to the will of the Red dictators.

Of course there are Communist lies, and Communist lies. Some are fancier than others. Communists are not lying when they refer to their objective as the "dictatorship of the proletariat." They merely are guilty of a half truth in making that statement. The whole statement would be "the dictatorship of the proletariat, *by the dictatorial commissars.*" But it may be objected on good ground that a half truth is blacker than any lie. Tennyson said so.

The Horrible Danger of European Peace

In considering other Communist lies, look to the words of Mr. Earl Browder, the mustachioed whip of the Communist Party in this country. Mr. Browder recently told us that world peace lies in the direction of co-operation between Soviet Russia and the United States. *"Lies"* is right.

Then Mr. Browder went a bit farther and said that "Peace in Europe is impossible without the active collaboration of the Soviet Union." He may have had one up his sleeve there, however, perhaps knowing that there *won't* be any peace unless the Soviets are in on the deal. That is to say that if a horrible condition of peace ensued from the appeasement action of Chamberlain, Daladier, etc., it would be short-lived because Russia soon would terminate it.

Simply as a matter of fact it is truth to say that Communism thrives on war; is abhorrent to peace. It is a black, filthy lie to say that Communism sponsors peace. The Reds themselves give the lie to their patron saint, Browder.

Mr. Browder's loudest screech of discontent is his complaint that "The red-baiters have spread innumerable falsehoods and slanders against us . . . " That is like Bob Feller walking sadly from the batter's box, squawking bitterly that Tommy Bridges struck him out.

Impossible to Slander the Lying Reds

It is impossible to slander the Communist Party, for it has been guilty of more rot than the agile mind of any capable liar could imagine. Similarly any falsehood directed against Browder's band is less strong than the truth, and should be avoided for that reason apart from the moral considerations involved.

Reds shrink from truth like rats from poison, and you could sooner accuse a Hollywood press agent of understatement than you could accuse any Communist of truth. The Reds consistently have lied about Russia, have lied about China, have lied about Germany, Japan, Italy, the United States—have lied about the world in general. They have lied about religion, science, literature. They have lied about monarchy, totalitarianism, democracy. They have lied about themselves.

In fact, if there is anything left the Communists haven't lied about, the reason is they haven't discovered it. Wake up Ananias, and deal a hand to Mr. Stalin, Mr. Browder and their boys!

New Dealers Hit Spencer 'Below Belt'

'Krum Elbow' Donor Condemns Bloom Bill

THE NEWS:

DAYTONA BEACH, FLORIDA—Howland Spencer, who gave his 500-acre "Krum Elbow" estate, across the Hudson River from President Roosevelt's Hyde Park home, to Father Divine, Negro cult leader, last year, was detained near here by the United States Coast Guards while they gave his yacht a "going over."

Mr. Spencer attributed the detention and search to an article in the *Saturday Evening Post* in which Steve Early, presidential press secretary, accused Spencer of "hitting" President Roosevelt "below the belt" by his gift to the Negro evangelist.

COMMENT:

If Spencer's accusations are true, they are a sad commentary on the lengths to which the New Deal hatebearers will go to wreak vengeance on those whom they dislike because of their policies of opposition.

Sol Bloom Bill Would Make War a Certainty

Spencer has been described as the "No. 1 anti-New Dealer." Last year, as President Roosevelt undertook his purge tour to kick out of Congress certain Representatives who had opposed him as Democrats, Mr. Spencer toured Georgia at his own expense in behalf of the candidacy of Senator Walter F. George, a purge prospect.

Now, the New Deal will have even more cause to hate Spencer for after the Coast Guard incident he addressed a telegram to the same Senator George, who controls the balance of power on the important Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

In his telegram, Spencer declared his opposition to the Sol Bloom "neutrality" bill, which enjoyed complete Roosevelt support.

"Just as the vindictive dictator in the White House attempted with a smile to liquidate you by a knife in your back while your guest last year," Spencer wired Senator George, *"he now attempts to send the youth of America to slaughter by demanding passage of the Sol Bloom bill to make war certain.*

Lashes Alien Minds in New Deal Circles

"After war, revolution would follow when in the vacant places of the exterminated Americans he would substitute more alien, and alien-minded, New Dealers . . . Those doomed by Franklin Roosevelt for a rendezvous with death in order that they may not live to reproach him for destroying their country, appeal to you to continue the high Christian courage you have shown."

Mr. Early, who lined all opponents of President Roosevelt up against the wall and shot them down in a verbal barrage in the *Saturday Evening Post* —at a nice profit for Mr. Early—had singled out Spencer. Because Spencer donated his estate to Father Divine, Early accused him of "attempting to harpoon the good-neighbor policy," and of "hitting below the belt."

Of course those who follow the antics of the New Deal administration know that foul-hitting is the stock-in-trade method of handling New Deal opponents. The fate of Boake Carter, John J. O'Connor, certain former braintrusters, and a host of Congressmen bear witness to this.

Labor Union Bars All Reds As Members

BUFFALO, N. Y.—*(Special)*—Little-known in the world of power-house unionism, but nonetheless important to its members, is the Federated Industrial Union here, which has set for its goal the ideal of true Americanism in union government, with Communists and their sympathizers barred from membership.

This union, formerly affiliated with the Steel and Metal Workers Industrial Union, broke away from the parent organization in 1934 because of opposition to Communist domination. The position of the F.I.U. members was made difficult because a Communist at that time was head of the Steel and Metal Workers organization.

Since the break was made, the Federated Industrial Union and the Steel and Metal Workers have been in a constant battle. Further, the C.I.O. has trespassed on the organizing ground of the F.I.U., and succeeded in winning a representation election in at least one plant.

The C.I.O. pushed a high-powered organizational campaign, promising the men, among other things, immediate employment for those out of work. After winning the bargaining election the John L. Lewis union was, of course, unable to fulfill its promise.

In the present year the F.I.U. has gained in organizational strength and has been pushing for another representation election in which the F.I.U. expects to win collective bargaining rights under provisions of the Wagner Act.

Communists are *barred strictly* from membership in the F.I.U. Furthermore any recruits whom the Communist Party might muster in F.I.U. ranks are *subject to immediate expulsion.*

Roosevelt-Press War Rages in Washington

Third Term Incident, United Press Attack, Part of President's Grudge Fight

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—President Roosevelt found fault with reporters last week for interpretations placed upon his appointment of Paul V. McNutt, former high commissioner to the Philippines, to head the new Federal Security Agency.

Later in the week, President Roosevelt issued a statement, in collaboration with Stephen Early, his press secretary, in which the United Press was condemned for what was termed a false story concerning discussions between the President and Secretary of State Cordell Hull on neutrality.

COMMENT:

President Roosevelt is never more without dignity than when he rolls up his sleeves to take a round out of the press. In fact his repeated attacks on reporters, editors, newspapers and the practice of American journalism generally have led to the belief in some quarters that he is engaged in a determined campaign *to undermine the faith of the American people in the American press.*

Accuses Press of 'Deliberate Lying'

The President's relations with the press have been strained on many occasions. Last winter, when much was printed concerning the disputed *"our frontier lies in France"* statement, President Roosevelt called a special press conference wherein, for half an hour, he excoriated the press unmercifully.

In this conference the President not only censured the press for carelessness; *he accused it of deliberate lying.* This led Phelps Adams of the *New York Sun,* who attended the conference, to file to his paper a story that stated: "Today the President went far beyond the bounds of any previous sallies in this direction (abuse of the press) and brought to a dramatic climax what has long been regarded by many newspapermen here as a *perfectly conscious campaign* designed to undermine the confidence of the American people in *any and all newspapers which publish critical reports of any Administration policy or action.*"

On several occasions the President has characterized as "dunces" reporters who asked him of his third term intentions. The "go stand in the corner" command, now has become commonplace. Yet President Roosevelt's own spokesmen, administration fellows and subalterns have been carrying forward a campaign having for its object *to push him into the White House for another term!*

Would Put Ban on All Political Discussion

Does this justify the President's view that anyone daring to ask him about his 1940 intentions is a dunce? Hardly.

After the McNutt appointment, Mr. Roosevelt took it upon himself to lecture reporters upon the interpretations placed on the appointment by their editors and special writers. The President would, it must be concluded, prohibit any and all speculation upon the objects and purposes of Mr. Roosevelt's sacred acts.

When the United Press printed a story detailing information received from a governmental source concerning White House discussions on neutrality, President Roosevelt flew into a rage the moment he saw the story; buzzed Steve Early and commanded him to "take a statement."

Fulton Lewis Sides With Maligned Reporter

In this statement the President made frequent references to "falsehood," "calumny," and other harsh words, and slashed at this particular news service. The United Press, answering the President's lengthy statement with a short one, stated that the reporters who turned in the story received it through the usual channels, in the pursuit of their usual daily assignments, from a government source. No apology was forthcoming from the United Press, for none was deserved.

Fulton Lewis, Jr., the Washington radio reporter, in commenting on Mr. Roosevelt's intemperate blast, stated that his sympathies lay with the reporters. He had, he said, on many occasions bumped up against the same conditions that confronted the United Press men. There are in Washington, he added, propaganda camps within and without the administration. And these propagandists think nothing of giving false tips or false leads on news stories, hoping thereby to bolster their own causes, whatever they may be.

Surely it is a sad state of affairs when the President—himself the most flagrant "space-grabber" in the history of newspapers in America—is moved to find fault with the press simply because it sees things with eyes different from his own. From top to bottom the Roosevelts are concededly the most publicity-minded family ever to occupy the White House.

The incident is not closed. The President, who thinks the press exists only for his uses, will find himself confronted with the sad result of his own errors when the press finally is goaded into telling what it knows.

Chicago Builder Raps Racketeers Operating Within Labor Unions

Cites Union Need; Laments Corruption

THE NEWS:

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS—**Labor leaders here studied the testimony of Gerhardt F. Meyne, Chicago contractor, delivered before the Temporary National Economic Committee in Washington, in which he indicted racketeering in labor unions.**

Meyne, defending the need for clean unionism in the building trades, declared the difficulty is "that the leaders of labor unions are so apt to develop into racketeers."

COMMENT:

Although he is on the other side of the fence from the unionists, Meyne nevertheless has placed his finger on one of the greatest cancer growths in present-day unionism.

Some of the nation's most prominent leaders of labor have lamented the racketeering that at once weakens the morale of the labor front, and at the same time robs the unionists themselves of the strength that should be theirs. One nationally-known labor leader, who at present is waging a fight for life with some of the most vicious of labor's racketeers, stated last year that *if the "reds could be cleaned out of the C.I.O., and the racketeers could be cleaned out of the A.F.L., there might be some hope for unionism in America."*

Meyne, in testifying before the Economic Committee, described practices in the building trades wherein money changed hands in the course of a strike; wherein one union sabotaged a W.P.A. job; wherein fixtures could not be purchased ready-made, but had to be manufactured on the job by unionists.

Under questioning by Joseph O'Connell, an attorney for the Economics Committee, the Chicago contractor said that "a labor leader usually becomes a leader by being elected and playing politics. And he is usually re-elected because of apathy or lack of understanding by the average union member.

"What makes him a racketeer is not being content to be satisfied with the wage or salary he gets from the union. He devises ways and means whereby he can impose on the building public other income besides his salary from the union."

Both Neutrality and Defense Are Needed

By HON. BENNETT C. CLARK
Senator from Missouri

OUR NEUTRALITY legislation should provide that a state of war exists when so declared by any foreign belligerent, or when the President or the Congress finds an undeclared state of war to exist between two or more foreign States, or whenever the President or the Congress finds a state of civil strife to exist in a foreign State.

Our neutrality legislation should declare an absolute embargo on exportation of arms, munitions, and implements of war to belligerents, or to a State engaged in civil strife, or to a neutral State for the use of a belligerent; and it should prohibit the exportation of other goods to a belligerent until the title thereto has been transferred to foreign interests and all domestic title has been divested.

Our neutrality legislation should prohibit American vessels carrying goods and passengers to belligerents and carrying arms, munitions, and the implements of war to any belligerent State or to a State wherein civil strife has been found to exist.

Such legislation should prohibit the financing of any belligerent State or the purchase of its securities, bonds, or obligations by any person within the United States with the exception of ordinary commercial credit and short-time obligations, including no solicitation of funds for or on behalf of a belligerent.

Such legislation should provide for the registration and licensing of persons engaged in the United States in the business of exporting, manufacturing, or importing the munitions of war under the supervision of a national munitions-control board, as the present law now provides. It should exempt from its provisions only an American republic engaged in war with a foreign state.

But neutrality alone is insufficient to grant us the safety for which we hope and pray. The history of the world, including current events, warns us that it is the weakness of a state or nation that often invites aggression, absorption, or conquest. We should associate with a policy of strict neutrality a program of adequate national defense. We must be able, at all times, to defend our own neutrality against the world. Paradoxical as it may seem, neutrality and national defense are the twin sisters of peace.

arms, airplanes, armaments, and army equipment to any nation at any time. Then let us provide for an embargo against any nation or nations during a civil or a foreign war, except food and clothing for the civilian population only, to be distributed through the Red Cross or similar organizations.

Nation Dizzy from Its Diet of Propaganda

By HON. MARTIN L. SWEENEY
Representative from Ohio

THIS NATION is dizzy with war propaganda. The munition manufacturers and the war lords are again in the saddle, riding high, wide, and reckless on the crest of false propaganda—reckless with the public's conscience and with other peoples' agony. These are again attempting to monopolize patriotism. They are again wrapping the flag of glory around themselves and making millions out of the blood and the tears and the agony of an agonized world.

Let us stop them by passing a real, not a make-believe, neutrality act. Let us prohibit the sale of munitions,

Lincoln Feared Caesaric Rise

By HON. FRANK O. HORTON
Representative from Wyoming

IT MIGHT BE WELL at this time to recall the words of Lincoln which were uttered more than 100 years ago:

"Many great and good men, sufficiently qualified for any task they should undertake, may ever be found whose ambition would aspire to nothing beyond a seat in Congress, a gubernatorial or a presidential chair, but such belong not to the family of the lion or the tribe of the eagle. What! Think you these places would satisfy an Alexander, a Caesar, or a Napoleon? Never! Towering genius disdains a beaten path. It seeks regions hitherto unexplored. It sees distinction, and, if possible, it will have it, whether at the expense of emancipating slaves or enslaving free men. Is it unreasonable, then, to expect that some man possessed of the loftiest genius, coupled with ambition sufficient to push it to its utmost stretch, will at some time spring up among us? And when such a one does *it will require the people to be united with each other, attached to the government and laws, and generally intelligent, to successfully frustrate his design.*"

If we have a Napoleon in our midst, may his Waterloo be a personal one rather than one which will involve the entire nation.

Solution Must Include More Buying Power

By HON. H. JERRY VOORHIS
Representative from California

THE FUNDAMENTAL need of the business of this nation is now and has always been the existence of a volume of actively circulating medium of exchange adequate to transact the business of an expanding economy. Our budget has been unbalanced in recent years in order to try to buy into circulation through the sale of government bonds sufficient bank deposits to create a volume of circulating medium which would be something like adequate to transact our business. It has increased the national debt, as I see it unnecessarily, because I do not believe this nation or any other should be called upon to pay interest for the right to use its own credit.

Take the example of the R.F.C. The R.F.C. in the past has not received its money for making secured loans as a private bank would get it. If a man comes in with adequate security and wants to borrow money from a private bank, according to our existing fractional reserve banking system, that man's security becomes itself the base for the creation of an equivalent amount of money in the form of bank credit. The contraction of that loan calls into being the credit necessary to make it. But the R.F.C., a Government agency, is denied that privilege. The R.F.C. must get its money from the Treasury. The Treasury gets its money by selling Government obligations. The Government debt is thereby increased before the R.F.C., an agency of the Government of the United States, can be regarded as having any credit to lend on however good security or with however large a capital stock. In other words, we have turned the thing exactly around, and instead of Congress having the right to coin money and regulate its value, the Congress gives away that right and itself must pay interest in order to exercise it. We are assuming that the reservoir of credit in this nation—credit that rests on the property and the people of the nation—is something that is privately and not nationally owned.

We must recognize that it is in the field of regularizing and increasing the buying power of the American people in line with the power of this country to produce, that the solution of this great problem must be found and that national defense in its true sense must include the solution of these economic problems.

65 Per Cent Get Less Than a Living Wage

By HON. CLAUDE PEPPER
Senator from Florida

SO GREAT HAS been the effect upon our internal economy of modern methods of production and technological improvements that in his anti-monopoly message to the Congress last year the President told us that 200 corporations of this nation own one-fourth of the total wealth of the country and one-half of the nonbanking corporate wealth of the nation.

What has been the effect on the purchasing power and the earning power of the masses of the people of the country? There are roughly 29-million American families. Fourteen per cent of those 29-million American families have an annual income of less than $500. Think of that? One-seventh of America's families having a total annual income for the whole family of less than $500. How many automobiles can they buy? How much farm machinery can they buy? How much of the products of America's factories can they purchase?

The report further shows that 42 per cent of those 29-million American families had an annual income of less than $1,000. Think of that! Nearly half of America's families have a total family income of less than a thousand dollars a year.

The report shows that 65 per cent of the 29-million American families have an annual income of less than $1,500 a year; that 87 per cent have an income less than $2,500 per year; that 97 per cent have an income of less than $5,000 a year; and 99 per cent have an income of less than $10,000 a year.

America a Noble Name; Origin Dates to All-Conquering Goths

By HON. ROBERT R. REYNOLDS
Senator from North Carolina

I SUBMIT a brief article by Lt. Col. C. I. Kephart regarding the origin of the name "America."

"As Americans, I wonder how many of us really know the origin of the name 'America.' The assertion that it came from the forename of an Italian navigator, Amerigo Vespucci (1451-1512), is only the end of the story and not its beginning.

"For centuries prior to the Christian Era the powerful nation of the Goths (or Gatae), a Nordic people, inhabited the region now known as the Ukraine, north and northwest of the Black Sea, including the valleys along the lower Danube river. During the reign of Emperor Domitian (A. D. 81-96), of the Roman Empire, the Goths, in fear of treachery on his part, broke a long truce and attacked and defeated the Roman forces on the lower Danube. Oppius Sabinus was then the Roman governor and Dorpaneus (Dekebalus) was the Gothic king in Dacia. A larger Roman army was then sent forward, but it, too, was defeated. So overwhelming were these successive Gothic victories and so well-handled were some later reverses suffered at the hands of the Roman Emperor Trajan that the leaders were deified by the people and their descendents became the ruling family of the Gothic nation.

"The first of these heroes was named Gaut, followed in successive generations by Hulmul, Augis, and Amal. Apparently by Amal's time the kingship of the Gothic nation had become hereditary in his family, for it is by his name that the renowned Amal family has come down in history. When the Visigoths withdrew from the nation in A. D. 476 upon the invasion of Europe by the Mongolian Huns and Amali continued to furnish the kings and other leaders for the Ostrogothic nation, even after the latter had broken away from the defeated Huns and had settled in Italy, until the extinction of the male line upon the death of Theodoric the Great.

"Now—which is more important to us—it happens that this name Amal is also the origin of the name 'America,' as applied to the Western Hemisphere. Used by admirers in the same way that American children are named for Washington, Jefferson, Lincoln, and other great Americans, the form became Amalric (from Amal-ric), meaning literally the 'mighty Amal' and figuratively, as later used, 'all-conquering leadership (or service).' When the Ostrogoths overran Italy, it became Amalrico, which was softened in the Italian patios to Amerigo. Then upon the proposal of Waldseemuller, a German geographer who relied on Vespucci's assertions of his discovery of the Western Hemisphere, the forename of the latter, Amerigo (or Americus in Latin), gradually came to be used on maps of the new world in the feminine form (America), as grammatically applied to land.

"The Goths, with the Germans, Kimerians, and others constituted the ancient liberty-loving nations of northern Europe, which resisted the advances of the Roman Empire in their directions and from which peoples we are largely descended. It is not too much to say that to their success in withstanding the despotic Romans we may attribute our existence as a self-governing people today."

Congressmen Form Bloc to Aid Farms

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Thirty members of the House of Representatives have united to form a "permanent farm bloc" in the House. The purpose of the permanent bloc will be to foster a general program of agricultural legislation.

Representative Jed Johnson of Oklahoma was elected chairman and Representative William Lemke of North Dakota, secretary.

COMMENT:

When the issue of the World Court was before the Senate, only a self-appointed bloc of anti-interventionist Senators was able to prevent its enactment. Similar blocs were effective in preventing passage of the Supreme Court packing bill, and, very recently, of the Bloom neutrality bill.

The new farm bloc is organized to do just what the above-mentioned groups have accomplished in the field of agriculture. Instead of being a negative voice, to defeat subversive plans of legislation, the new farm bloc has introduced itself to Congress as a positive force. It plans to develop a positive program of aid for agriculture. The bloc consists of members, whether they happen to be on the House Agriculture Committee or not, who are interested in securing a better deal for the farmer.

If successful, this farm bloc may be the forerunner of additional blocs, in securing specific legislation which has long been needed. For example, a money bloc might be organized to fight for the definite return to Congress of its power to coin and regulate the value of money. A labor bloc might likewise be organized to legislate for a living annual wage for labor in place of all the red tape legislation which has impeded industry, both worker and employer.

Whatever their original purpose, the special standing committees of Congress are not able to handle this task. Their time is so occupied merely considering the pieces of legislation referred to them that they are unable to initiate and consider a whole program of social legislation such as would be needed in the case of the farm or unemployed problems.

Proponents of the corporative system of democracy, may see in this step on the part of the farm Congressmen, a step towards representation according to class vocation instead of mass population. Composed of both Democrats and Republicans in this instance, the group is abandoning temporarily the cause of political partyism for the more important cause of seeking a program of real aid for the farmer.

Senate Again Proves People Make Policy

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The Senate Foreign Relations Committee by a vote of 12 to 11, refused to report to the Senate, the Bloom neutrality bill as passed by the House. The committee action marked the death of neutrality legislation at this session.

Unless the President again breaks precedent, and demands action immediately, Congress will now resume its unfinished business and prepare for an early adjournment.

COMMENT:

Heroes of the week are the 12 Senators on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee who, forseeing the cost in time and money that a filibuster on the bill would entail, fought and killed for this session, the Bloom anti-neutrality measure.

Coupled with the House action, the Senate committee has once more served notice to our would-be allies that American foreign policy is made not over the radio, not in Hyde Park, but in the nation's Capitol by the people's Congress.

Ignoring administration arguments that American neutrality is a handicap to the so-called democracies, the Senate committee took the action which millions of constituents have been requesting ever since the subject of neutrality came up in March. Senator William E. Borah of Idaho, pro-neutrality Senator, warned his colleagues at the opening of the committee fight on the Bloom bill, to make "no distinction between dictators and democracies," but to *"pursue the old system of considering alone the interests of the people of the United States."* Other countries, he observed, commenting upon the foreign criticism of the House vote, "might well consider the action of the Congress incoherent and inopportune, because it was American."

Senator Hiram Johnson of California, co-leader with Senator Borah in the fight against the repeal of the embargo, said: "The administration has lost its neutrality fight. And I am opposed to any other plan to give the President discretionary power to impose any embargoes anywhere."

Senator Key Pittman of Nevada, administration pilot of the measure, admitted that "this is the end of neutrality at this session."

President Roosevelt complained that the action of the Senate committee left the United States without power to restrict shipments of munitions to other nations, even though the country to which the goods might be destined should be re-selling to combatants. He did not say, however, that had the Senate passed his bill, the United States would be free to sell munitions to belligerents directly. Perhaps he thought it better not to mention that.

If Mr. Roosevelt is determined to insist upon his legislation, he can have his Senate leaders demand that the bill be forced out of committee, in which case he will only be inviting the threatened filibuster; or he can call a special session of Congress for the enactment of a new neutrality bill.

The Senators who voted to shelve neutrality for the session, include Senators Borah, Johnson, Clark of Missouri, George of Georgia, Reynolds of North Carolina, Gillette of Iowa, Van Nuys of Indiana, Capper of Kansas, LaFollette of Wisconsin, Shipstead of Minnesota, Vandenberg of Michigan and White of Maine.

The action of Congress on neutrality and the European reaction to it, proves again how far apart is the American system of government from the countries of Europe. Even the "democracies" cannot seem to understand that the United States is governed by the people through their representatives. As in the case of President Wilson and his acceptance of Versailles and its League of Nations, the Europeans cannot seem to understand that the personal opinion of the President of the United States does not determine the law of our land. Had this prime fundamental of American Government been understood by the nations which call themselves "democracies," the disapproving comments by the British and French press would not have been so numerous. After all, American policy is America's own business.

Committee Gets Bill to Lend $3-Billion

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C. — The Senate has begun hearings on the new "self-liquidating" lend-spend plan of President Roosevelt. The measure introduced in the Senate by Majority Leader Alben Barkley of Kentucky and in the House by Representative Henry B. Steagall of Alabama, calls for the lending of $2-billion, 800-million, mostly through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. With the $800-million loan authorization for housing, already introduced as a separate bill, the lend-spend program totals $3-billion, 600-million.

COMMENT:

The program which is now in the Senate Banking Committee, is known as the *"Barkley-Steagall Self-Liquidating Projects Act of 1939."* Including the $800-million U.S.H.A. increased loan authorization, it is the same as the proposal presented by Mr. Roosevelt to Senator Byrnes late last month, (SOCIAL JUSTICE, July 3), with but one exception.

Mr. Roosevelt in his original letter had asked for $500-million to lend to foreign countries to increase our exports. This request, in the Barkley-Steagall bill, has been pared down to $100-million; not because the administration had a change of heart in the matter, but because a group of protesting Senators made a public issue of the question.

Essentially, the projects are supposed to be self-liquidating. This means that the Government spends a lot of money for public works, toll roads, bridges, highway equipment, rural electrification, farm tenancy, railroad equipment, etc., and that these projects, over a period of time, are supposed to pay for themselves.

What the Government will do, will be to lend us about $4-billion of our own money to finance the projects, and then over a period of time, collect it back from us with interest, in the form of tolls and taxes.

Congressmen who have watched the pump-priming and lending programs fail, one after another, have little remaining faith in such a gigantic attempt to regiment the 1940 ballot.

Representative Jesse P. Wolcott of Michigan, ranking minority member of the House Banking and Currency Committee, is leading the House fight against the bill.

The measure has various opponents in the Senate. Senators Borah of Idaho and Lucas of Illinois are critics of the proposal to lend money to foreigners who might buy our products. Senator Wheeler of Montana has indicated that he will fight the proposal to buy equipment and then rent it to the railroads, even if he has to appear before the Banking committee to express his views.

In addition to Senator Wagner of New York, chairman of the committee, who has indicated his intention to support the measure, the Banking Committee includes Senators Carter Glass of Virginia, Barkley of Kentucky, James Byrnes of South Carolina, John H. Bankhead of Alabama, Alva B. Adams of Colorado, Francis T. Maloney of Connecticut, George L. Radcliffe of Maryland, Prentiss Brown of Michigan, James H. Hughes of Delaware, Clyde Herring of Iowa, William H. Smathers of New Jersey, John E. Miller of Arkansas, D. Worth Clark of Idaho, Sheridan Downey of California, John G. Townsend of Delaware, Lynn Frazier of North Dakota, Charles Tobey of New Hampshire, John A. Danaher of Connecticut, and Robert A. Taft of Ohio.

Outside-the-Budget Agencies to Report

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The Senate adopted the resolution by Senator Harry Flood Byrd of Virginia, requiring the Treasury to prepare and submit to Congress, a complete financial statement of the "outside-the-budget agencies."

Included in the information which must be given concerning these lending and spending agencies of government are: assets and liabilities, statement of all revenues, expenditures, operating costs, methods of accounting, loans in default, as well as of all notes, bonds, stocks, debentures and other obligations held by the agencies.

COMMENT:

For the past six years, there have been thirty or more Government lending - spending agencies which have operated outside the budget. This means that, although they get their appropriations voted to them by Congress, their expenses, indebtedness, etc., are not included necessarily in the public debt. Some of them have issued annual financial reports. Many have never done so. Until all of them have reported to the Treasury, and their accounts have been balanced with the general budget, it is impossible to say accurately, that the federal debt is only $40-billion. In the course of their existence, these outside-the-budget agencies have spent or have been authorized to spend a total of almost $16-billion.

From time to time, Senators and Representatives have risen to demand a public accounting of this or that public agency, but usually the request was made in the process of preparing some appropriation for the agency in question. And usually, after the appropriation had been made, the request for an accounting is forgotten in the maelstrom of new discussions.

The thirty "outside-the-budget" agencies, which handle federal money, and which would be required by the Byrd resolution to submit public accounting to the United States Treasury are:

Reconstruction Finance Corporation.
Commodity Credit Corporation.
Export-Import Bank.
Federal Crop Insurance Corporation.
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Tennessee Valley Authority.
Public Works Administration.
United States Marine Commission.
Rural Electrification Administration.
Home Owners' Loan Corporation.
Federal Savings and Loan Corporation.
Federal Savings and Loan Association.
Federal Home Loan Banks.
Federal Housing Administration.
United States Housing Authority.
Farm Credit Administration.
Federal Farm Mortgage Corporation.
Federal Intermediate Credit Banks.
Banks for Co-operatives.
Production Credit Corporation.
Regional Agricultural Credit Corporation.
Disaster Loan Corporation.
Electric Home and Farm Authority.
Farm Security Administration.
Federal National Mortgage Association.
Inland Waterways Corporation.
Panama Railroad Company.
Puerto Rican Reconstruction Administration.
R.F.C. Mortgage Company.
Tennessee Valley Associated Co-operatives.

Letters

(We aim to make this a free forum and readers' opinions are invited. Naturally the views expressed are not always those of this magazine.—EDITOR.)

Time for Action

To the Editor:

According to Social Justice of June 26th, an attempt to boycott Social Justice from the newsstands is being made.

The answer to this problem is not difficult, but it needs the co-operation of all good Christians. Simply go up to your news dealer and say, "If you don't carry decent literature and the right kind of news magazines, we Christians will not patronize you."

It's time for Christians to act. It's time for Christians to get out of the habit of saying, "Let George do it! Act now. It may save a lot of trouble in the end.

—Bernard Florack

Rochester, N. Y.

They Profit From War

To the Editor:

I believe that every peace-loving American will be interested in reading and reconsidering the article, "*Arms and the Men*," written by the editors of *Fortune* in the March, 1934 issue of the *Fortune* magazine. This article was reprinted with the permission of the authors in the 1935 edition of "*The College Omnibus*," edited by James Dow McCallum. From it I shall quote a few passages.

"According to the best accountancy figures, it cost about $25,000 to kill a soldier during the World War. There is one class of Big Business Men in Europe that never rose up to denounce the extravagance of its governments in this regard. . . . The reason for the silence of these Big Business Men is quite simple: the killing is their business. Armaments are their stock in trade; governments are their customers; the ultimate consumers of their products are, historically, almost as often their compatriots as their enemies. That does not matter."

Further along I quote:

"Among the more prominent shareholders, in 1932, of Vickers or other concerns associated with the production of materials of war were Rt. Hon. Neville Chamberlain, Chancellor of the Exchequer, and Sir Austen Chamberlain, M.P., winner of the Nobel Peace Prize in 1925.

"Skoda, although its main works are in Brno (which was once an Austrian territory), has factories scattered not only over Czecho-Slovakia but over Poland and Roumania as well. Upon the board of Skoda, which the *Union Europeenne* controls through 56 per cent of its stock, M. Schneider sits with his friend Andre Vicaire, director general of Schneider-Creusot; his brother-in-law, Arnaud de Saint-Sauveur; Eduard Benes, who, as Czecho-Slovakia's foreign minister, takes second place to no one in the vocal support he lends to the League of Nations. . . ."

The authors then inform us that America, too, can boast of Big Business Men.

"After all, we have our DuPonts, who at least 'own' the State of Delaware."

This article is packed full of information of the activities between the internationalists and governments. It is worth rereading.

—W. R. G.

Youngstown, Ohio

'Red Semitism'

To the Editor:

In the March 31st issue of the *Daily Worker*, the following article appeared:

"On Sunday, April 2 at 2 P. M. at the Workers' School a conference of all Jewish members of the Communist Party of Philadelphia will be held in connection with the anti-Semitic Nazi movement in the city.

"This conference will be addressed by Sam Darcy, Eastern Pennsylvania State Secretary, and a representative of the National Council of Jewish Communists. It is of utmost importance for every Jewish member of the Party to be present at this timely conference."

So there is a National Council of Jewish Communists! Since this article appeared in the *Daily Worker*, it is merely a notice of a meeting, but any comments on the above by Father Coughlin would most certainly be termed as "anti-Semitic."

And then there is the *Saturday Evening Post*, June 24, 1939, commenting upon Bolshevikis taking aliases.

"The list is endless and the practice is not confined to Russia. The editor of the Communist *Daily Worker* is Mike Gold. His name is Isadore Granich. The principal editors of the *New Masses* are Joseph North and A. B. Magil. Joseph North's name is Seifert. As A. B. Magil is the son of a Philadelphia rabbi, his last name presumably is an Anglicanization of a Jewish name. The first three contributing editors listed on the *New Masses* masthead are Theodore Draper, Robert Forsythe and Joseph Freeman. Theodore Draper is Teddy Dubinsky. Forsythe is Kyle Crichton, also an editor of *Collier's* weekly. Joseph Freeman is Yoshke Freidmann."

I was under the impression that religious Jews would have nothing to do with Communism. Why then is A. B. Magil, son of a Philadelphia rabbi as stated above, engaged in disseminating Communist propaganda?

One comes across articles like the above almost every day. Why, then, is Father Coughlin singled out for attack? Everyone knows that Father Coughlin is not anti-Semitic, but he is extremely anti-Communist. There's the rub!

—Pro-American

Akron, Ohio

Critics Admire Priest

To the Editor:

It is my firm belief that deep down in the hearts of the Coughlin critics, there lies sincere admiration for the Radio Priest. Self-aggrandizement and other ulterior motives prevent honesty from coming to the surface. Eddie Cantor may be a funny man, and then again he may not, but as a judge of men, there is little doubt that he lacks one of the essentials, namely, factual evidence before passing sentence.

It is an established fact that Father Coughlin has taught the people of the country more about their government than any individual before him. He has and always will condemn what is wrong, but with the same token will advocate that which he sincerely believes to be right and in keeping with the Constitution of the country. For that service we find him being castigated as a poor American. In his condemnation of the tactics of the international traitors who control the world's wealth, Father Coughlin is to be sincerely congratulated.

—George McGovern

New York City

Reader Marks (Off) Time

To the Editor:

I have been a regular buyer of *Time* magazine from newsstands. If I were a subscriber I would cancel my subscription.

After reading where they ridicule the Governor of Michigan for his piety, and have called the symbol of Christianity a silo, I not only will not buy the magazine any more, but will speak to the rector of my church about the matter also.

The Social Justice magazine is the finest in the country because we can believe what we read in it. May this publication eventually grow to the largest in the land.

—James Benton

San Francisco, Calif.

Aliens on Relief

To the Editor:

The United States is not satisfied with having 10-million unemployed, but now we must saddle ourselves with refugees entering our country.

American workers are laid off to give place to the refugees; already this is being felt in all parts of this country. More than 60 per cent now on relief are aliens and refugees who have been given preference over the American citizens. Just how long can this go on?

Moreover, a program is now under way to import 20,000 refugee children, fostered by distinguished stars of the stage and cinema. Of course, we have no orphans in this country whom we could care for more adequately!

There are millions of poor people in this country whose mode of living is a disgrace to our democracy. A pig-sty would put their homes to shame; they live in hovels because of unemployment, yet more Jewish refugees must be imported and given jobs and homes, while our own American citizens must suffer in need and want for this minority.

—An American Citizen

Marlboro, Mass.

Our Own Come First

To the Editor:

So Mr. J. A. McArthur of Pelican Rapids, Minn. would willingly sacrifice his son in war to abolish the Hitlers. Well, he is entitled to his opinion.

He does not seem to know that there are here in this country hundreds of thousands who, like Father Coughlin—and good Christians, too—think we have no business mixing in with the quarrels of Europe.

We have taken up the cudgels for Europe once too often. We have enough troubles right here to keep us occupied for some time to come.

I would advise Mr. McArthur to pay a visit to Mr. Stalin and stay there for some time. I think he would come back a wiser man.

Baltimore, Md. —John B. Faisant

That Refugee Ship

To the Editor:

After six years of study of the Roosevelt propaganda bureau, it is quite apparent that the plight of the so-called Refugee Ship is merely more propaganda, designed to stir up ever-ready American sympathy, and to speed up the passage of a bill now pending in Congress, which, if passed, will admit 20,000 refugees into this country every year.

To blow a hole through this entire story, it is sufficient to know that, before this refugee ship left Hamburg, Germany, the captain and passengers on the liner knew that Cuba had already refused permission to land at Havana.

Once passed, this pending Congressional "Refugee" bill can be enlarged each year to accommodate all the world's oppressed.

—Chester Tebleligе

Jersey City, N. J.

Spirit of '76 Needed

To the Editor:

The theory that England, our former enemy, is now our best friend and that "the two great democracies of the world" must co-operate is false and absurd.

The British Empire is far from a democracy. Through selfish motives, the expansion of trade and territory, Great Britain has invaded foreign countries, and plundered, murdered, conquered, exploited and suppressed the natives for about three centuries. The barbarous British conquest of India is an example of the "spread of English civilization." In her interest in the Suez Canal, Great Britain invaded and conquered Egypt. By aggressive means she ever increases her possessions. Thus the British Empire is founded on conquest. The British long for peace because they want their vast possessions left undisturbed by war; the British extend good will to America because, despite their imperialistic policies and the fact that they make no attempt to pay the $5-billion they owe the United States, they have the audacity to hope that Americans will fight for them if need be.

Such phrases as "our sister democracy," "symbols of peace" and "our English cousins" influence the masses but they do not explain the pro-British attitude of American statesmen. They can discriminate between fact and propaganda. By praising the "British democracy", Americans in responsible positions conceal the truth and deliberately mislead their own people, a traitorous practice.

A revival of the Spirit of '76 is needed. Patriotic Americans should now declare their independence of all pro-British influences and support one hundred per cent Americanism.

—Grace McKeon

Brooklyn, N. Y.

13 Reasons Why Not

To the Editor:

If Social Justice magazine will allow, I might express my personal reasons as to why I don't want to see Mr. Roosevelt elected for a third term. Here they are:

1. Harold Ickes.
2. Henry Hopkins.
3. Henry (Corn) Wallace.
4. The bill to pack the Supreme Court and the subsequent appointment of Black, Reed, Frankfurter, and Douglas.
5. Corcoran and Cohen, and the rest of the New Deal "brainless trusters."
6. The yearly increase of the national debt and failure to tell the people when he is a candidate what he proposes to do when elected.
7. Playing politics with relief, W.P.A., and other New Deal alphabetical agencies.
8. Sticking out the Roosevelt chin in European affairs.
9. Encouragement of John L. Lewis, Communistic labor leader, and his C.I.O., and decisions of the National Labor Relations Board.
10. Stirring up class hatreds rather than pursuing his "good neighbor" policy at home.
11. The vast horde of payrollers and others feeding at the public trough by reason of New Deal legislation.
12. The 12-million yet unemployed after the waste of billions of dollars of taxpayers' money.
13. And last, but by no means least, Mr. Roosevelt's record as a whole for the past seven years has proved to be everything but a sugar-coated New Deal.

—Herbert J. Mancini

East Cleveland, Ohio

A NATIVE SINGS PRAISES OF LITTLE OLD NEW YORK

To the Editor:

Anent the letter in the June 12th issue of Social Justice over the signature of Chicago's J. K. Lenihan. Seemingly, after a short visit and a superficial survey, these gentlemen from outlying districts are quick in their condemnation of our fair city.

As a native born New Yorker with a continuous residence over a considerable period, I feel it my duty to speak in its defense. Now it is not my purpose, in making the comparison, to offer disparagement to all other communities; but, and I am confident a careful, unbiased observer will agree, our city has the longest, dirtiest and dingiest subway system in the world; it has the tallest unoccupied buildings and more of them than any other city, town, village or hamlet on this hemisphere.

Despite the millions of P.W.A. grants and the thousands of unemployed, it has more miles of streets in urgent need of repair than any other community on this continent. It has more aliens, speaking their native tongue, but all receiving relief provided by the native born, than any other metropolis in the continental United States.

At the World's Fair (admission 75c—school children free), we have more complete female nudity on the half acre than can be found in all the locker, shower and steam rooms of all the combined girls' gymnasiums and Turkish baths possessed by the other 47 states, territories and our island possessions.

At this point it may be stated emphatically, that to know our great city is to love it. It is surely a place of infinite surprise.

But turning aside from our civic superiorities, let us view our city's political aspects. What city in what state has a mayor who can reverse himself faster on the question of a sales tax? What municipality, and where is it situated, that has a larger proportion of those in high places under indictment?

Where in all our fair land is a like group of inspired individuals who will spend a stupendous sum on a circumferential highway, firm in the belief it will be a fitting and lasting monument to a city administration that has, for the last five years, been going in circles?

Possibly in my enthusiasm for my beloved city I have slightly overdrawn the picture and have offended the sensibilities or aroused the envy of other communities; but, it must be understood that all cannot be so fortunate as we, by reason of so large a number of the European radical intelligentsia in residence. However, all should feel free at any time to call on our intellectuals for guidance; but, a word of friendly caution, make their stay short, lest, as was the case of Washington, they became enamoured.

And, speaking of the Nation's Capital, positively all of the enactments emerging therefrom are the work of our New York deep thinkers. As an illustration, one of the first but certainly not the least of these manifestations was the Resettlement Project in Alaska. For subtle reasoning and magnificent execution it was, and is, without parallel, for what appeared to the ordinary mortal to be the making of a new home for the migrating unfortunates of the Dust Bowl was in reality, a perfect residential site for the movie star, Miss Garbo, for if she were there at this moment she'd be definitely alone.

So with some the toast is "*Paris in Springtime*," with others it is Miami or Palm Springs in season, but with me it will ever be "New York all Year!"

—J. H. Aitken

Brooklyn, N. Y.

$13,000.00 in Cash Ready for 159 Winners!

By Stepping Lively You Can Gather in $5,000.00!

QUESTIONS FOR THIS WEEK

QUESTION NO. 5

Why is the Press of America Not Free?

1. **Because of government control.**
2. **Because of government censorship.**
3. **Because publishers do not desire freedom.**
4. **Because of pressure by labor unions.**
5. **Because of control by advertisers.**
6. **Because freedom of the press is illegal.**

QUESTION NO. 6

What is the United States?

1. **A true democracy.**
2. **An empire.**
3. **A republic.**
4. **A limited monarchy.**
5. **A corporative democracy.**
6. **A Christian democracy.**
7. **An absolute monarchy.**
8. **A totalitarian state.**

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 6 For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

EXTRA COUPON FOR A FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 5 For Question No. 5 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 6 For Question No. 6 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

START IN RIGHT HERE

QUESTION NO. 1

Which is Man's Most Important Debt?

1. **To God.**
2. **To his country.**
3. **To his government.**
4. **To his parents.**
5. **To his church.**
6. **To his children.**
7. **To his employer.**
8. **To his innate principles.**

QUESTION NO. 2

Which Army is Most Dangerous to America?

1. **The German army.**
2. **The Japanese army.**
3. **The British army.**
4. **The Mexican army.**
5. **The Russian army.**
6. **The army of the unemployed.**

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 2 For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

HERE'S AN EXTRA COUPON FOR A FRIEND

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 1 For Question No. 1 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 2 For Question No. 2 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

With $5,000.00 in cash you can go around the world, buy a home, start a business, get married, go to college for four years, or invest at interest.

And $5,000.00 CAN be yours within only a few weeks, if you enter the great "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine TODAY and win the Grand Prize.

STUDY THIS PRIZE LIST

GRAND PRIZE	$2,500.00
Second Prize	1,400.00
Third Prize	1,000.00
Fourth Prize	300.00
Fifth Prize	200.00
Four prizes of $25.00 each	100.00
Fifty prizes of $10.00 each	500.00
100 Prizes of $5.00 each	500.00
Total prizes	$6,500.00

All prizes are doubled to winners who enter during the first five weeks of the contest. Therefore—your opportunity to gain fortune is just twice as good because of a little speed on your part.

QUESTION NO. 3

What Sort of Bankers Principally Foment Communism?

1. **American bankers.**
2. **Russian bankers.**
3. **International bankers.**
4. **English bankers.**
5. **French bankers.**
6. **German bankers.**
7. **Federal Reserve bankers.**
8. **Italian bankers.**

QUESTION NO. 4

What Sort of Jews and Gentiles Does Father Coughlin Principally Oppose?

1. **German Jews and Gentiles.**
2. **Atheistic Jews and Gentiles.**
3. **Religious Jews and Gentiles.**
4. **Industrial Jews and Gentiles.**
5. **American Jews and Gentiles.**
6. **Banking Jews and Gentiles.**
7. **Political Jews and Gentiles.**
8. **Russian Jews and Gentiles.**

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 4 For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

GET ANOTHER PERSON TO ENTER

Fill in This Coupon and Mail with 10 Cents in Coin

Make your selection by number from suggestions under each question. Write clearly the number in the little square.

No. 3 For Question No. 3 I select Answer No. ☐ No. 4 For Question No. 4 I select Answer No. ☐

Name _____

Address _____

Town or City _____ *State* _____

INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTESTANTS

1. Study the questions. Mark your selection of the best or most appropriate answers on the coupons below the questions and send in EACH WEEK with 10 cents in coin (not stamps).

2. If you wish, you may pay in full NOW, $2.50, and then send in your weekly coupons without further remittance.

3. Each question pertains to the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin, to the contents of his radio addresses or to the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. Proper or best and most appropriate answers to all questions are found in Father Coughlin's radio speeches and in SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

One out of the list of suggested answers under each question will be the best or most appropriate, based upon the pronouncements of Father Coughlin or this national weekly. It is your job as a contestant to try to select that particular answer.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, each contestant is required to remit $2.50 for each complete set of solutions submitted. THE NET PROCEEDS OF THE CONTEST GO INTO THE BROADCASTING FUND TO ASSURE CONTINUANCE OF FATHER COUGHLIN'S WEEKLY RADIO ADDRESSES!

5. If you run more than one set of answers, be sure to mark your sets "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each set stands alone in the judging. Coupons are NOT taken from one set to fill in bad "holes" in another set.

6. Remember how you can win a DOUBLED prize. If you enter the contest during the first five weeks, and are adjudged a prize-winner after the contest closes, your prize will be DOUBLED. In order to qualify for a doubled prize, you must have sent in five duly qualified weekly coupons with a total of 50 cents during the first five weeks.

Now, there is no point in your delaying because you have as good a chance to win this wondrous cash award of $5,000.00 as any person who enters the competition.

AND—

ALL OF THE 159 CASH PRIZES — AND EACH OF THEM—WILL BE DOUBLED FOR WINNERS WHO ENTER THE CONTEST DURING THE FIRST FIVE WEEKS.

If you have never before taken part in a SOCIAL JUSTICE contest, here is fun, education and a chance at quick cash returns for you.

If you have competed in past contests, you will enter this one at once—because you know that each SOCIAL JUSTICE contest brings out an entirely new crop of winners. Remember—during the last three years 1,291 persons in all walks of life have won $65,460.00 in cash and automobile awards in SOCIAL JUSTICE contests.

In order to win you need only have a knowledge of the principles for which Father Coughlin is contending, the contents of his radio addresses, and the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine. No high degree of education is required. Just a little careful thought for a few minutes each week.

To make it easy for you to enter and bring yourself right up even with all other contestants, we are today reprinting all of the contest questions down to date.

You can enter NOW by filling in your answers to all of the six contest questions which have appeared, remitting 30 cents in coin—and you're on your way.

So, let's go. You'll enter the contest before it closes anyway.

Do it NOW while you have a chance to win a DOUBLED prize.

How about it?

RULES OF THE CONTEST

1. Open to all readers of SOCIAL JUSTICE, except employes of Father Coughlin or members of their families.

2. The contest shall consist of 50 questions and shall run for 13 consecutive weeks, beginning on Monday, July 10, 1939. Two questions each week for 12 weeks and all 50 questions to appear on the 13th week. Each question deals with the social justice principles laid down by Father Coughlin in his radio addresses, or with the contents of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

3. A Grand Prize of $2,500.00 will be awarded the reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE returning the best or most appropriate answers to the 50 questions, such answers to be based on the viewpoint of Father Coughlin and SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine as expressed over the radio or in print. The reader or readers submitting the next nearest correct answers and otherwise complying with the rules shall be eligible for the additional prizes in the order of their correctness.

4. In order to qualify as a prize-winner, the contestant is required to send in 10 cents in coin (not stamps) with each pair of answers. For these remittances the contestant shall have sent without further cost two copies of Father Coughlin's 1938-1939 radio lectures, entitled: *"Am I an Anti-Semite?" and "Why Leave Our Own?"*

5. We cannot take into account solutions without signed names or addresses. Neither can claims to their ownership be recognized. We cannot be responsible for coupons arriving unduly late or lost in the mails. By entering the contest the contestant agrees to accept as final the decision of the judges as to procedure and final outcome.

6. In event of ties additional questions will be submitted. In event of final ties, after two such submissions, duplicate prizes will be awarded.

7. Do NOT decorate coupons. Neatness will not count.

8. Any inquiry, the reply to which could not affect outcome of contest, will be acknowledged.

9. A contestant may send in any number of sets of duly qualified answers and each set will be judged as a unit, but no individual may win more than one prize. If submitting more than one set of answers, the contestant is required to keep them distinct by designating them "Set 1," "Set 2," "Set 3," etc. Each submitted set shall entitle the contestant to the two books specified in Rule 4.

Get Your Father Coughlin Books Now!

Immediately you enter the great "COLUMBIAN CONTEST" you will be sent your two copies of *"Am I an Anti-Semite?"* and *"Why Leave Our Own?"* containing the 1938-39 radio addresses of Father Coughlin.

While not necessary to victory in the contest, those books will prove valuable in answering the Contest questions. YOU SHOULD HAVE THEM AT ONCE.

This is another reason for entering the Contest right now. By so doing, you not only get in line for FIVE THOUSAND IN CASH or one of the other large cash prizes DOUBLED, but you also arm yourself with some of the speeches of Father Coughlin upon which contest questions are based.

GET IN RIGHT NOW!

Board of Judges

All contest questions have been passed upon and will be judged for their correctness or appropriateness by a Board of Judges made up of six members of the editorial staff of SOCIAL JUSTICE magazine.

Address Contest Editor

'SOCIAL JUSTICE', ROYAL OAK, MICH.

THE VOICE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

This space is devoted to the inspirational verse and bits of philosophy sent in by the many listeners to the *Voice of Social Justice!* The *Voice of Social Justice* emanating from the broadcast studios of this magazine is heard Mondays through Fridays at 3 o'clock E.S.T. over WHIP, Hammond, Indiana and CKLW serving the Windsor and Detroit area.

If you have a poem or a tiny selection of homely philosophy, please send it to the *Voice of Social Justice, Royal Oak, Michigan.*

"Let Me Not Boast"

Let me not boast, Oh Lord,
Of any little kindness I may do,
For any little deed or kindly word
Is done through You.

If someone is in trouble or in woe
Show me how to brighten their dark day
I realize that I alone can't go
Unless Thy guiding hand shows me the way.

Make me useful every day I live
And tho' mine be a very humble task
To Thee, Dear Lord, my service I give
To help along the way, is all I ask.

I thank Thee for the tasks Thou givest me,
This work for Thee, do I enjoy the most.
For any help to others I may give
Let me not boast.

—*Submitted by Marjorie Brown*

Sometime

Sometime when all life's lessons have been learned,
And sun and stars forevermore have set,
The things which our weak judgment here have spurned,
The things o'er which we grieved with lashes wet,
Will flash before us, out of life's dark night,
As stars shine more in deeper tints of blue,
And we shall see how all God's plans were right,
And how what seemed reproof was love most true.

And we shall see how, while we frown and sigh,
God's plans go on as best for you and me;
How, when we called, He heeded not our cry,
Because His wisdom to the end could see,
And even as prudent parents disallow
Too much of sweet to craving babyhood,
So God, perhaps, is keeping from us now
Life's sweetest things, because it seemeth good.

And if sometimes commingled with life's wine,
We find the wormwood and rebel and shrink,
Be sure a wiser hand than yours or mine
Pours out this potion for our lips to drink;
And if some friend we love is lying low,
Where human kisses cannot reach his face,
Oh, do not blame the loving Father so!
But wear your sorrow with obedient grace.

And you shall shortly know that lengthened breath
Is not the sweetest gift God sends His friend,
And that sometimes the sable pall of death
Conceals the fairest boon His love can send.
If we could push ajar the gates of life
And stand within and all God's workings see,
We could interpret all this doubt and strife,
And for each mystery could find a key.

But not today. Then be content, poor heart!
God's plans, like lilies, pure and white unfold.
We must not tear the close-shut leaves apart;
Time will reveal the hidden cups of gold,
And if through patient toil we reach the land,
Where weary feet, with sandals loosed, may rest,
Then shall we know and clearly understand—
I think that we shall say "God knew the best."

—*Mary Louise Riley Smith from book "Heart Throbs"*

Things to Forget

If You Would increase your happiness and prolong your life, forget your neighbor's faults. Forget all the slander you have ever heard. Forget the temptation. Forget the fault-finding and give a little thought to the cause which provokes it. Forget the peculiarities of your friends and only remember the good points which make you fond of them. Forget all the personal quarrels or histories you may have heard by accident, which, if repeated would seem a thousand times worse than they really are. Blot out as far as possible all the disagreeables of life; they will come, but they will grow larger when you remember them, and constant thought of the acts of meanness makes you more familiar with them. Obliterate everything disagreeable from yesterday. Start out anew with a clean heart today so that you may write upon a clean sheet for sweet memory's sake only those things which are pure and lovely

The fellow who figures that the world owes him a living and that all he has to do is to collect it, is looking through a clouded glass.

The world owes nothing to any man.

On the contrary, every man owes to the world his best efforts for his very existence—and each heartbeat increases his obligations to the Universe.

The principle of production is divine, and good work well done for God brings the highest form of happiness.

It All Depends

Love Should center everywhere. It shouldn't be saved. It should be lavished. It is the one thing in all this world that multiplies itself without end.

It all depends upon who loves you, and whom you love! There seem to be selected ones whose characters smother all our criticism and fault-findings.

The story is told of Sir Isaac Newton's dog, Tray, who upset a candle on his study stable and burned several valuable papers. But all that the great man contented himself with saying, upon coming into the room, was this: "Ah! Tray, you don't know the mischief you have done!"

We can use our own imagination as to what he would have said in the case of a wife or a servant having done this same mischief.

It all depends upon who loves you, and with us who censures us, who hurts us, as to our attitude of mind about it all. But just so long as Love is in the chair—such as Newton's dog Tray, wins the day!

Circumstances keep interfering with us. The Fates keep stepping in. It all depends upon how strong in patience and courage we are, as to the outcome of our lives.

A big dog will allow a baby to fondle—and even abuse it—without the slightest harm coming to that child, but let a grown person abuse the same dog, and the result is entirely different. Instinct, perhaps, tells that dog that such a small creature as a child is entirely within its power—and so it chooses to protect it.

There is a saying that we hurt only those whom we love—which sounds most foolish and which is surely most unnecessary, for if there is anyone who deserves our tolerance, it is that one we most love. We should hurt no one by our idle or careless talk—especially should we be considerate of those who in mind and heart appear most akin to us.

We can afford to overlook so much! If we cannot, we are poor indeed.

The Better Way

Don't dwell in the shade when the bright sun is shining,
And flowers are blooming to brighten the day;
When skies are all clear there's no need for repining,
'Tis better to drive all dull sorrow away.

Don't wear a dark frown when a smile is more pleasing.
A smile helps the wanderer along the rough road;
It lights the dark pathway where fears are unceasing;
It brings renewed courage and lightens the road.

Don't sow seeds of hate, when the seeds of affection
Give us in return a rich harvest of flowers;
The tongue's friendly word, or the hand's kindly action,
Brings into life's darkness a few sunny hours.

Don't think all things bad, there is much good around you,
There's goodness and kindness on every side;
And happiness comes through each good act that you do,
If right are your motives, and TRUTH is your guide.

—*Walter A. Douglas*

Shrine Tour Dates

July 23 — Pittsburgh, Altoona, Southern Ohio, Cincinnati, Dayton, Springfield, Kentucky.

July 30—St. Louis, Mo., Indiana Day, Chicago.

August 6—Cleveland, Akron, Erie, Pa.

August 13—Toledo, Troy, Piqua, Sidney.

August 20—Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota

National Groups Seek to Hold Shrine Service

Requests Have Been received from various national organizations representing the different nationalities in the United States as to when would be the best time to hold a religious service at the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak, Michigan.

Tuesday, July 4th was an historical occasion at the Shrine. On that day Ukrainians from all over the nation assembled at the Shrine and celebrated the 950th anniversary of their conversion to Christianity.

This event has started an avalanche of requests from various people and organizations seeking information as to when they might hold similar celebrations for their people. Others desire to present banners and standards to the Shrine to be hung here as in the old stately cathedrals of Europe.

Many Spanish Nationalists are urging a Franco celebration. Other groups are requesting special days on which they received their independence. It is to be wondered whether the Shrine would still be the Shrine with various banners and standards representing heroic attempts of people to maintain their religion and the faith for which they have fought and died. We are doing all we can to handle this and will publish reports of the work in the near future.

Ohio and Kentucky Day, Sunday, July 23

Many stalwart and loyal friends of Social Justice in the cities of Cincinnati, Hamilton, Middletown and Springfield are hoping to have a large and enthusiastic group of friends at Royal Oak on Sunday, July 23rd. This is Southern Ohio day at the Shrine of the Little Flower. Father Coughlin will greet the large assembly with a cordial welcome. Solemn High Mass was to be celebrated at 10:30 A. M. The Baltimore & Ohio Railroad is conducting an excursion to the Shrine on that day.

About 500 members of the New York Fire Department of the American Legion Post 930 are planning to visit the Shrine of the Little Flower in Royal Oak, Michigan on September 24, 1939.

The League of the Little Flower Requests You to pray for the Sick and the Dead

"I beseech you therefore, brethren, through our Lord Jesus Christ and by the charity of the Holy Ghost, that you help me in your prayers for me to God." ROMANS 15:30

ILL		DECEASED	
ILLINOIS	*Jamaica Plains*	ILLINOIS	OHIO
Cicero	Mrs. Agnes Lynch	*East St. Louis*	*Yorkshire*
Mrs. Theresa K. Brennan	*Roxbury*	Jerry Collins	Mrs. Leonie DeLoye
MASSACHUSETTS	Mrs. Annie Duffily	NEW YORK	PENNSYLVANIA
Everett	OHIO	*New York City*	*Darby*
Nancey Sergi	*Yorkshire*	Mrs. S. Flynn	Mrs. Anna J. Macauley
	Paul DeLoye	William Reider	

Divine Heart of Jesus convert sinners, save the dying, deliver the holy souls from purgatory. (Indulgence of 300 days, every time. Pius X, Nov. 6, 1906)

You are invited to join the League of the Little Flower.

Benefits: 1. You are remembered every morning at Mass at the Shrine of the Little Flower.

2. You are remembered every morning at a Special Mass said for our intentions at the Tomb of Christ near Jerusalem.

Obligation: Each day say the Lord's Prayer for the intention of the Little Flower. Her intention is to lead souls back to God.

Privileges: You are privileged to enclose the names of the deceased members of your family. They will be remembered each day at the Sacrifice of the Mass.

Fees: Each living member is requested to contribute one dollar a year.

The money derived from this will be used to help Father Coughlin promote his religious activities.

Mail your membership dues to Father Coughlin at Royal Oak, Michigan

Your prayers are earnestly solicited for our sick friends and for the faithful departed who have recently passed to their reward.

Wishing to take part in the activities of the League of the Little Flower, I hereby make application to become one of its members.

Herewith is enclosed ..... dollar(s) as membership fee for the League of the Little Flower for the person(s) listed below:

Name _____

Street _____

City and State _____

Midsummer Financial Statement

If you are an advocate of the Americanism of Father Coughlin, you belong to that most important group of citizens who, in the past, have supported his weekly broadcasts—and who MUST continue to do so in the future.

If your co-operation and that of thousands of others is lacking, there can be no further broadcasting from Royal Oak.

These are plain statements—made because of the financial demands of this most difficult summer.

Father Coughlin has contracted with 48 radio stations to use time every week of the year. HE MUST LIVE UP TO THE LETTER OF THESE CONTRACTS—or lose forever the national network he has so laboriously built.

The summer months are the hardest in most lines of business and in the maintenance of all and patriotic causes. This summer has been no exception at Royal Oak.

And so Father Coughlin finds himself going into the Fall with a depleted treasury—and the necessity of carrying on week after week, or lose his radio connections.

This fact is important—very important—to you as a genuine American. Father Coughlin is merely YOUR spokesman, and that of the millions who wish to preserve our liberties from the inroads of Communism and Fascism. Father Coughlin SPEAKS over the radio what you THINK.

The wolves of international finance would silence Father Coughlin. So would those who seek to enmesh this nation in war. So would those who are striving with every propaganda weapon at their command—and with millions of Moscow money—to tie the United States to the Russian juggernaut.

The next two months will be crucial at Royal Oak.

One hundred thousand dollars are needed at once so that Fall broadcasting may be assured. This seems like a lot of money, but it is a small amount when spread over the vast host of Americans who listen to Father Coughlin on the air, and who read SOCIAL JUSTICE.

This necessity MUST be met during the next few weeks, or Fall broadcasting is by no means certain.

The demand can be met if you and every other reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE come now to the aid of Royal Oak with contributions of $1, $2, $3 or $5. Perhaps you are a person in better circumstances. Then you can send $10, $20, $50 or $100.

The broadcasts up to now have been maintained by the contributions of thousands of readers. BUT—

THERE ARE MORE THAN A HALF-MILLION READERS WHO HAVE NOT YET DONE THEIR SHARE.

If you happen to be among this half-million, please delay no longer on this task which means so much to our nation in this crisis.

Surely, no more need be said.

SOCIAL JUSTICE PUBLISHING CO., INC.
Royal Oak, Michigan.

I wish to contribute to the 1939 Summer Broadcasting Fund so that Father Coughlin may continue to spread principles of genuine Americanism.

I hereby pledge the sum of $..... which I am enclosing herewith or which I will send in two equal monthly remittances on or before July 25 and on or before August 25.

My Name Is .....

My Address Is .....

City or Town..... State.....

NATIONAL WEEKLY

Social Justice

Founded 1936 by Father Coughlin

WHEELER SAYS COUNTRY NEEDS CHRISTIAN SPIRIT

REFUSAL TO RUN WITH 'THIRD TERM' ROOSEVELT STARTS BOOM FOR SELF

THE NEWS:

WASHINGTON, D. C.—In the wake of his own pronouncement of opposition to a third term for President Roosevelt, a boom has begun here at the Capital for Senator Burton K. Wheeler as a candidate for the presidency.

Several high Congressional officials have indicated that they would look with favor upon the presence of the Montanan in the presidential lists. At the same time the nation's press has been quick to express satisfaction with the move. Senator Wheeler, a Democrat, made known that he would not run for the Vice Presidency on a Roosevelt third-term ticket.

COMMENT:

There is much to be approved in this "draft Wheeler" movement. Truly a liberal, but not a New Dealer, Senator Wheeler has fought against the extreme leftism of the New Deal, but has fought just as hard for true reform where he found it necessary.

He led the assault on President Roosevelt's attempt to pack the Supreme Court with men of his choice. Coming from a Western State, the nation's greatest producer of silver, Senator Wheeler has battled for remonitization of that metal He fought for railroad reorganization, and was in the forefront of the battle to prevent President Roosevelt from cloaking himself with dictatorial authority in the Reorganization Bill of 1938

The newspapers report Senator Wheeler's defection from the proposed Roosevelt-Wheeler ticket as a bitter blow to the Cohen-Corcoran clique of New Dealers *who first inspired the idea.* This proposed ticket, the janizaries thought, would offer sufficient merit to offset objections that had been raised to a third term.

But Senator Wheeler, announcing that he would oppose a third term for President Roosevelt, or for any President, at one stroke shattered the Roosevelt - Wheeler dream, and boosted his own potential candidacy.

Addressing delegates to a convention of the Baltimore conference of the Methodist church, Senator Wheeler said this nation needed a *"moral reawakening"* to save it from utter collapse.

"Leaders of business, labor, agriculture and politics," the Senator went on, *"must sit down together in a Christian spirit, forget their selfish interests and work for the welfare of the nation."*

"Until we have learned how to distribute all our surpluses of food and clothing, you will find it hard to go out and preach Christianity to hungry men—*they want to see the practical application."*

In this one sentence, Senator Wheeler condemned the New Deal and its policy of tolerating scarcity and want in the midst of plenty. But he uttered even more timely and important words a moment later when he pledged himself never to vote to "send another American boy to fight across the water . . . "

"Christianity and democracy go hand in hand," said Senator Wheeler. "If we go to war again, *there is a real danger of destroying Christianity in this country."*

SENATOR BURTON K. WHEELER
'Let's Be Christians'

TUNE IN THE VOICE OF SOCIAL JUSTICE

CKLW Windsor and Detroit ★ **Twice daily, 3 and 7 p.m.** ★ **WHIP** Hammond, Indiana